

***I* – Semipotency and the Total of Modules**

H. Hakmi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Damascus University, Syria.

Received 17/01/2012

Accepted 28/05/2012

ABSTRACT

The object of this paper is to study the total as substructure of $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$ for any two modules M_R and N_R , one of interesting question, is when the total of a module N equals the $\text{hom}_R(N, J(N))$. Toward this question, many results have been obtained, where we characterize the module N and for which $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$. The main obtained results include:

(a) *Let N_R be module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The module N_R is an *I* – module.*
- (2) *$\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.*
- (3) *$\text{Tot}[N, M] = I[N, M]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.*
- (4) *$[N, M]$ is a *I* – semipotent for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.*
- (5) *$[M, N]$ is a *I* – semipotent for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.*
- (6) *E_N is an *I* – semipotent ring and for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$,*

$$I[M, N] = \{ a : a \in [M, N]; a b \in I(E_N) \text{ for any } b \in [N, M] \}$$

(b) *Let N_R be an *I* – module with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *E_N is a semipotent ring.*
- (2) *$J(E_N) = I(E_N)$.*
- (3) *For every $a \in E_N \setminus J(E_N)$ there exists a projective direct summand*

$0 \neq W \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ such that $W \subseteq \text{Im}(a)$.

Key Words: *Semipotent Rings, The total, Jacobson radical, (co) singular ideal, Endomorphisms rings, $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$.*

MSC 2010. 16E50, 16E60, 16D70.

I – شبه الجمودية وتوتال المودولات

حمزة حاكمي

قسم الرياضيات – كلية العلوم – جامعة دمشق – سورية

تاريخ الإيداع 2012/01/17

قبل للنشر في 2012/05/28

الملخص

الهدف من هذا العمل هو دراسة التوتال بالنظر إليه كبنية جزئية من المودول $hom_R(M, N)$ وذلك لأجل أي مودولين M_R و N_R . أحد الأسئلة المطروحة هو متى يكون التوتال يساوي $hom_R(N, J(N))$ ، أي متى يكون $Tot(E_N) = hom_R(N, J(N))$ حيث E_N هي حلقة التشاكلات للمودول N_R . في معرض الإجابة عن هذا التساؤل تم الحصول على عدد من النتائج، حيث وُصف المودول N_R الذي من أجله $Tot[M, N] = I[M, N]$ وذلك أياً كان $M \in mod - R$.

النتائج الرئيسية التي تم التوصل إليها من خلال هذه الدراسة هي:

(أ) ليكن N_R مودولاً فوق الحلقة R . الشروط الآتية متكافئة:

1. المودول N_R هو $I -$ مودول.
2. لأجل أي مودول $M \in mod - R$ فإن $Tot[M, N] = I[M, N]$.
3. لأجل أي مودول $M \in mod - R$ فإن $Tot[N, M] = I[N, M]$.
4. لأجل أي مودول $M \in mod - R$ المودول الثنائي $[N, M]$ يكون $I -$ شبه جامد.
5. لأجل أي مودول $M \in mod - R$ المودول الثنائي $[M, N]$ يكون $I -$ شبه جامد.
6. الحلقة E_N هي حلقة $I -$ شبه جامدة وأنه لأجل أي مودول $M \in mod - R$ فإن $I[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; ab \in I(E_N) \text{ for any } b \in [N, M]\}$

(ب) ليكن N_R عبارة عن $I -$ مودول فوق الحلقة R يحقق $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. الشروط الآتية متكافئة:

1. الحلقة E_N هي حلقة شبه جامدة.
 2. $J(E_N) = I(E_N)$.
 3. لأجل أي $a \in E_N \setminus J(E_N)$ يوجد حد مباشر إسقاطي $N \supseteq W \neq 0$ يحقق $W \subseteq Im(a)$.
- الكلمات المفتاحية: الحلقات شبه الجامدة، التوتال، أساس جاكبسون، المثالي المنفرد، حلقة التشاكلات لمودول، $hom_R(M, N)$.

رقم التصنيف العالمي للعام 2010: 16E50, 16E60, 16D70.

1. Introduction.

In this paper rings R are associative with identity unless otherwise indicated. All modules over a ring R are unitary right modules. A submodule N of a module M is said to be small in M if $N + K \neq M$ for any proper submodule K of M , [4]. A submodule N of a module M is said to be large (essential) in M if $N \cap K \neq 0$ for any nonzero submodule K of M , [4]. If M is an R -module, the radical of M denoted by $J(M)$ is defined to be the intersection of all maximal submodules of M . Also, $J(M)$ coincides with the sum of all small submodules of M . It may happen that M has no maximal submodules in which case $J(M) = M$, [6]. Thus, for a ring R , $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of R . Also, we write $U(R)$ the group of units of a ring R . For a submodule N of a module M , we use $N \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ to mean that N is a direct summand of M , and we write $N \leq_e M$ and $N \ll M$ to indicate that N is a large, respectively small, submodule of M .

If M_R is a module, we use the notation $E_M = \text{End}_R(M)$ and we write $I(E_M) = \{a : a \in E_M; \text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(M)\}$. It is well known that $I(E_M)$ is an ideal in E_M [4]. If M_R and N_R are modules, we use $[M, N] = \text{hom}_R(M, N)$. Thus, $[M, N]$ is an (E_M, E_N) -bimodule. Our main concern is about the substructures of $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$ and the semipotent of $\text{hom}_R(M, N)$ (see [7]).

The bimodule $[M, N]$ has three radicals: the Jacobson radical of $[M, N]_{E_M}$, Jacobson radical of ${}_{E_N}[M, N]$, and then the important Jacobson radical denoted $J[M, N]$.

- The Jacobson radical

$$J[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; ba \in J(E_M) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M]\}$$

$$J[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; ab \in J(E_N) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M]\}$$

Thus, $J[M, M] = J(E_M)$. In particular, $J[R, R] = J(E_R) \approx J(R)$, hence $E_R \approx R$.

- *The total*

$$\text{Tot}[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; a [N, M] \text{ contains no nonzero idempotents}\}$$

$$\text{Where } a [N, M] = \{a b : b \in [N, M]\}.$$

$$\text{Tot}[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; [N, M]a \text{ contains no nonzero idempotents}\}$$

$$\text{Where } [N, M]a = \{b a : b \in [N, M]\}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tot}[M, M] &= \text{Tot}(E_M) = \{a : a \in E_M; a E_M \text{ contains no nonzero idempotents}\} \\ &= \{a : a \in E_M; E_M a \text{ contains no nonzero idempotents}\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. I- Semipotent Modules.

Let M_R, N_R be modules. We put

$$I[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; \text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(N)\}$$

Thus,

$$I(E_M) = I[M, M] = \{a : a \in E_M; \text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(M)\}$$

It is easy to see that

$$I(E_M) = \{a : a \in E_M; b a \in I(E_M) \text{ for all } b \in E_M\}$$

$$I(E_M) = \{a : a \in E_M; a b \in I(E_M) \text{ for all } b \in E_M\}$$

Let P_R be a projective module then by [6, Proposition 1.1] we have :

$$1 - J(E_P) \subseteq \{a : a \in E_P; \text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(P)\}.$$

2 - If $J(P) \ll P$ then

$$J(E_P) = \{a : a \in E_P; \text{Im}(a) \ll J(P)\} = \{a : a \in E_P; \text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(P)\}$$

So, for any projective module P_R we have:

$$1 - J(E_P) \subseteq I(E_P).$$

$$2 - \text{If } J(P) \ll P \text{ then } J(E_P) = I(E_P).$$

Thus, for a ring R , $I(R) = I[R, R] = J[R, R] = J(E_R) \approx J(R)$, hence R_R is a projective module and $J(R) \ll R_R$.

Also, if M_R be module and $K \subseteq^{\oplus} M$, then $K \subseteq J(M)$ if and only if $J(K) = K \cap J(M)$. Putting $\Gamma(M) = \{K : K \subseteq^{\oplus} M; J(K) = K\}$. Note that for any projective module P , $\Gamma(P) = \{0\}$, hence if $K \subseteq^{\oplus} P$ and $K \subseteq^{\oplus} J(P)$ then K is projective and $J(K) = K \cap J(M) = K$, so $K = 0$. In addition to, if $J(M) \ll M$ (or M is finitely generated) for some $M \in \text{mod} - R$ then $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$, hence if $K \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ and $K \subseteq^{\oplus} J(M)$ then $K \ll M$ and $M = K \oplus D$ for some submodule D of M , since $K \ll M$ then $M = K \oplus D = D$ and $0 = K \cap D = K \cap M = K$.

We present in the following, a number of results from [3] which will be used throughout the paper.

Lemma 2.1. [3, Lemma 4.1]. Let M_R, N_R be modules then:

- (1) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; b a \in \text{Tot}(E_M) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M]\}$.
- (2) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; a b \in \text{Tot}(E_N) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M]\}$.

Lemma 2.2. [3, Lemma 5.10]. Let M_R, N_R be modules. The following are equivalent:

- (1) If $a \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$ there exists $b \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ba = (ba)^2 \in E_M$ and $ba \notin I(E_M)$.
- (2) If $a \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$ there exists $b \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ab = (ab)^2 \in E_N$ and $ab \notin I(E_N)$.
- (3) If $a \in [M, N] \setminus I[M, N]$ there exists $g \in [N, M]$ such that $g a g = g \notin I[N, M]$.

We call that $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent [3], if the conditions in lemma 2.2 are satisfied. In particular, E_M is an I -semipotent ring if

and only if, for any $a \in E_M$, $a \notin I(E_M)$ there exists $b \in E_M$ such that $0 \neq ab = (ab)^2 \in E_M$.

Lemma 2.3. [3, Theorem 5.11]. Let M_R, N_R be modules then the following hold:

(1) If $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ then $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent if and only if, $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$.

(2) If $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ then $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent if and only if, $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$.

In particular, if $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ then E_M is an I -semipotent ring if and only if, $\text{Tot}(E_M) = I(E_M)$.

Proposition 2.4. Let M_R be module with $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent for any module $N \in \text{mod} - R$.

(2) E_M is an I -semipotent ring and for any module $N \in \text{mod} - R$,

$$I[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; ba \in I(E_M) \text{ for any } b \in [N, M]\}.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since for any module $N \in \text{mod} - R$, $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent then by lemma 2.3, $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$, by [3, Proposition 5.9(b)] we have $I[M, N] = \{a : a \in [M, N]; ba \in I(E_M) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M]\}$. On the other hand, we have $\text{Tot}(E_M) = \text{Tot}[M, M] = I[M, M] = I(E_M)$, so by lemma 2.3 E_M is an I -semipotent ring.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let N_R be a module and $a \in I[M, N]$. Suppose $a \notin \text{Tot}[M, N]$ then $0 \neq (ba)^2 = ba \in E_M$ for some $b \in [N, M]$, so $\text{Im}(ba) \oplus \text{Ker}(ba) = M$. On the other hand, since $a \in I[M, N]$ then $\text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(N)$ and $\text{Im}(ba) \subseteq J(M)$, thus $\text{Im}(ba) \in \Gamma(M)$,

so $Im(\mathbf{ba})=0$ and $\mathbf{ba}=0$ a contradiction. Hence $I[M,N] \subseteq Tot[M,N]$.

Let $\mathbf{a} \in Tot[M,N]$ then by Lemma 2.1, $\mathbf{ba} \in Tot(E_M)$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in [N,M]$. By (2) since E_M is an I -semipotent ring then by lemma 2.3, $Tot(E_M) = I(E_M)$, so $\mathbf{ba} \in I(E_M)$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in [N,M]$ thus, by assumption $\mathbf{a} \in I[M,N]$. So $Tot[M,N] \subseteq I[M,N]$. Thus we have $Tot[M,N] = I[M,N]$ by lemma 2.3 $[M,N]$ is I -semipotent for any module $N \in mod - R$.

Proposition 2.5. Let N_R be module with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $[M,N]$ is I -semipotent for any module $M \in mod - R$.

(2) E_N is an I -semipotent ring and for any module $M \in mod - R$,

$$I[M,N] = \{ \mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \in [M,N]; \mathbf{ab} \in I(E_N) \text{ for all } \mathbf{b} \in [N,M] \}.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since for any module $M \in mod - R$, $[M,N]$ is I -semipotent then by lemma 2.3, $Tot[M,N] = I[M,N]$, by [3, Proposition 5.9(b)] we have $I[M,N] = \{ \mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \in [M,N]; \mathbf{ab} \in I(E_N) \text{ for all } \mathbf{b} \in [N,M] \}$. On the other hand, we have $Tot(E_N) = Tot[N,N] = I[N,N] = I(E_N)$, so by lemma 2.3 E_N is an I -semipotent ring.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let M_R be a module and $\mathbf{a} \in I[M,N]$. Suppose $\mathbf{a} \notin Tot[M,N]$ then $0 \neq (\mathbf{ab})^2 = \mathbf{ab} \in E_N$ for some $\mathbf{b} \in [N,M]$, so $Im(\mathbf{ab}) \oplus Ker(\mathbf{ab}) = N$. On the other hand, since $\mathbf{a} \in I[M,N]$ then $Im(\mathbf{ab}) \subseteq J(N)$ so $Im(\mathbf{ab}) \in \Gamma(N)$, thus $Im(\mathbf{ab}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{ab} = 0$ a contradiction. Hence $I[M,N] \subseteq Tot[M,N]$.

Let $\mathbf{a} \in Tot[M,N]$ then by Lemma 2.1, $\mathbf{ab} \in Tot(E_N)$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in [N,M]$. By (2) since E_N is a I -semipotent ring then by lemma 2.3, $Tot(E_N) = I(E_N)$, so $\mathbf{ab} \in I(E_N)$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in [N,M]$ thus, by

assumption $a \in I[M, N]$. So $\text{Tot}[M, N] \subseteq I[M, N]$. Thus we have $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ by lemma 2.3 $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent for any module $M \in \text{mod} - R$.

Corollary 2.6. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) For any module $M \in \text{mod} - R$, $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ and $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent for all $N \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (2) For any module $N \in \text{mod} - R$, $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ and $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let N_R be module. Suppose $M \in \text{mod} - R$, then by (1) $\Gamma(M) = \{0\}$ and $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent, and by lemma 2.3 we have $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$. So by [3, proposition 5.9 (b)] we have

$$I[M, N] = \{ a : a \in [M, N]; ab \in I(E_N) \text{ for all } b \in [N, M] \}$$

And E_N is a I -semipotent ring.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let M_R be module. Suppose $N \in \text{mod} - R$, then by (2) $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ and $[M, N]$ is I -semipotent, and by lemma 2.3 we have $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$. So by [3, proposition 5.9 (b)] we have

$$I[M, N] = \{ a : a \in [M, N]; ba \in I(E_M) \text{ for any } b \in [N, M] \}$$

And E_M is a I -semipotent ring.

Recall that a ring R is a semipotent ring [2] if every principal left (resp. right) ideal not contained in $J(R)$ contains a nonzero idempotent element, or equivalently, for any $a \in R$, $a \notin J(R)$ there exists $0 \neq x \in R$ such that $x = xax$.

A module N_R is called I -module if for every submodule $K \subseteq N$ such that $K \not\subseteq J(N)$ there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq W \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ with $W \subseteq K$. Recall that a module P_R is locally projective [5] if for every submodule $B \subseteq P$, which is not small in P there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq W \subseteq^{\oplus} P$ with $W \subseteq B$.

In view of definitions I – module, locally projective module implies that a module N_R is locally projective if and only if N_R is an I – module and $J(N) \ll N$. Also, we derive the following,

Lemma 2.7. Let P_R be a projective module. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) E_P is a semipotent rings.
- (2) E_P is an I – semipotent rings and $J(P) \ll P$.

Lemma 2.8. Let N_R be an I – module. The following hold:

- (1) $J(E_N) \subseteq I(E_N)$.
- (2) If $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ then for any module $M \in \text{mod} - R$, $I[M, N] \subseteq \text{Tot}[M, N]$.

Proof. (1). Let $a \in J(E_N)$, suppose that $a \notin I(E_N)$. Since $\text{Im}(a) \not\subseteq J(N)$ there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq W \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ with $W \subseteq \text{Im}(a)$. Let $b : N \rightarrow W$ be the projection of N onto W then $0 \neq b = b^2 \in E_N$ and $\text{Im}(ba) = \text{Im}(b) = W \subseteq^{\oplus} N$. Since W is projective then $\text{Ker}(ba) \subseteq^{\oplus} N$, by [6, Lemma 3.1] there exists $g \in E_N$ such that $(ba)g(ba) = ba$. Thus, $0 \neq g(ba) = [g(ba)]^2 \in J(E_N)$, a contradiction. So $a \in I(E_N)$.

(2). Let $a \in I[M, N]$, suppose that $a \notin \text{Tot}[M, N]$ then there exists $b \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ab = (ab)^2 \in E_N$. Since $\text{Im}(a) \subseteq J(N)$ then $\text{Im}(ab) \subseteq J(N)$ and $\text{Im}(ab) \subseteq^{\oplus} N$, so $\text{Im}(ab) \in \Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ therefore $ab = 0$, a contradiction.

Theorem 2.9. Let N_R be an I – module with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) E_N is a semipotent ring.

(2) $J(E_N) = I(E_N)$.

(3) For every $\mathbf{a} \in E_N \setminus J(E_N)$ there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq W \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ such that $W \subseteq \text{Im}(\mathbf{a})$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since N is an I -module then by lemma 2.8 $J(E_N) \subseteq I(E_N)$. Let $\mathbf{a} \in I(E_N), \mathbf{a} \notin J(E_N)$ by assumption there exists $\mathbf{b} \in E_N$ such that $0 \neq \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b})^2 \in E_N$. So $\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}) \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ and $\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}) \subseteq \text{Im}(\mathbf{a}) \subseteq J(N)$ thus, $\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}) \in \Gamma(N) = \{0\}$ therefore $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} = 0$, a contradiction so $\mathbf{a} \in J(E_N)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that $J(E_N) = I(E_N)$. Let $\mathbf{a} \in E_N \setminus J(E_N)$ then $\mathbf{a} \notin I(E_N)$ and

$\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}) \not\subseteq J(N)$, since N is an I -module $\mathbf{a} \in E_N \setminus J(E_N)$ there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq W \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ such that $W \subseteq \text{Im}(\mathbf{a})$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $g \in E_N \setminus J(E_N)$ then there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq V \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ such that $V \subseteq \text{Im}(g)$. Let $\mathbf{p} : N \rightarrow V$ be the projection of N onto V , then $\text{Im}(\mathbf{p}g) = \text{Im}(g) = V$ hence $\text{Im}(\mathbf{p}) = V \subseteq \text{Im}(g)$. Since V is projective then $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{p}g) \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ by [2, Theorem 2.2] follows that E_N is a semipotent ring.

Theorem 2.10. Let N_R be module with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) The module N_R is an I -module.
- (2) $[M, N]$ is an I -semipotent for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (3) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3). Let $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Tot}[M, N]$, suppose that $\mathbf{a} \notin I[M, N]$ then $\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}) \not\subseteq J(N)$ by assumption there exists a projective direct summand $0 \neq P \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ with $P \subseteq \text{Im}(\mathbf{a})$. By

modular law $P \subseteq^{\oplus} \text{Im}(a)$. Let $p : \text{Im}(a) \rightarrow P$ the projection, then $pa : M \rightarrow P$ is epimorphism. Since P is projective then $M = A \oplus \text{Ker}(pa)$ for some submodule, $A \subseteq M$ and $pa : A \rightarrow P$ is isomorphism. By [5, Lemma 1.1] there exists $g \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq g(pa) = g(pa)^2 \in E_M$, and by [5, Lemma 1.2] there exists $b \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ba = (ba)^2 \in E_M$, a contradiction.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let B be a submodule of N and $B \not\subseteq J(N)$. By [1, Theorem 2.3], denote by $I : P \rightarrow B$ a projective extension of B and by $t : B \rightarrow N$ the inclusion. Let $g = tI : P \rightarrow N$ then $\text{Im}(g) = B \not\subseteq J(N)$, so $g \in [P, N] \setminus I[P, N]$ by assumption there exists $m \in [N, P]$ such that $0 \neq mg = (mg)^2 \in E_P$, by [5, Lemma 1.1] there exists $0 \neq P_0 \subseteq^{\oplus} P$ and $0 \neq N_0 \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ such that $g : P_0 \rightarrow N_0$ is isomorphism. Since P_0 is projective then N_0 is projective and $N_0 = g(P_0) \subseteq \text{Im}(g) = B$. Thus, N is an I -module. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) by lemma 2.3.

Proposition 2.11. Let N_R be an I -module with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. Then for any module $M \in \text{mod} - R$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $[M, N]$ is semipotent.
- (2) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = J[M, N] = I[M, N]$.
- (3) For any $a \in [M, N] \setminus J[M, N]$ there exists $b \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq \text{Im}(ab) \subseteq^{\oplus} N$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since $[M, N]$ is semipotent then By [7, Theorem 2.2] $\text{Tot}[M, N] = J[M, N]$ and by theorem 2.10 we have $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ hence, N_R is an I -module.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). By theorem 2.10 and [7, Theorem 2.2].

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $a \in [M, N] \setminus J[M, N]$ then $a \notin \text{Tot}[M, N]$ so there exists $b \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq ab = (ab)^2 \in E_N$ thus $\text{Im}(ab) \subseteq^{\oplus} N$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Since N_R is an I -module then by theorem 2.10, $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for every module $M \in \text{mod} - R$. On the other hand, we have $J[M, N] \subseteq \text{Tot}[M, N]$. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Tot}[M, N]$, suppose $\mathbf{a} \notin J[M, N]$ by assumption there exists $\mathbf{b} \in [N, M]$ such that $0 \neq \text{Im}(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}) \subseteq^{\oplus} N$. Since $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Tot}[M, N]$ then $\mathbf{a} \in I[M, N]$ and $\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}) \subseteq J(N)$, thus $\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}) \in \Gamma(N)$ hence $\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}) \subseteq \text{Im}(\mathbf{a})$, which follows that $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} = 0$ a contradiction.

Corollary 2.12. Let N_R be module with $\Gamma(N) = \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) The module N_R is an I -module.
- (2) $\text{Tot}[M, N] = I[M, N]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (3) $\text{Tot}[N, M] = I[N, M]$ for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (4) $[N, M]$ is an I -semipotent for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (5) $[M, N]$ is an I -semipotent for all $M \in \text{mod} - R$.
- (6) E_N is an I -semipotent ring and for any module $M \in \text{mod} - R$,
 $I[M, N] = \{ \mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \in [M, N]; \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} \in I(E_N) \text{ for any } \mathbf{b} \in [N, M] \}$

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (5) by theorem 2.10. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) by corollary 2.6. and (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) by lemma 2.3. (2) \Leftrightarrow (6) by lemma 2.3 and proposition 2.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cartan, H. and S. Eilenberg: (1956). *Homological Algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press .
- [2] Hamza, H. (1998). I_0 –Rings and I_0 –Modules, *Math. J. Okayama Univ. Vol. 40*, p. 91-97.
- [3] Hamza, H. (2011). On $(\Delta-, \nabla-, I-)$ semipotent and the total of rings and modules, *Damascus University Journal for BASIC SCIENCE. Vol. 27, No 1*, P. 9-34.
- [4] Kasch, F. (1982). *Modules and Rings*, Academic press London and New York.
- [5] Kasch, F. (2002). *Locally injective modules and locally projective modules*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 32(4) 1493-1504.
- [6] Ware, R. (1971). *Endomorphism rings of projective modules*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 155, p.233-256.
- [7] Zhou, Y. (2009). *On (Semi) regularity and total of rings and modules*, *Journal of Algebra* 322 , p.562-578.