

(3)

(2)

(1)

(3)

(2 1)

2004/11/08

2005/05/12

( ) *Medicago sativa* l.var.local

:

'

.

'

'

'

.

:

.

## The effect of Polluted Air on Alfalfa

Rabia Zahalan<sup>(1)</sup>, Adnan ALI NIZAM<sup>(2)</sup>  
and Yousef Meslmani<sup>(3)</sup>

<sup>(1),(2)</sup> Department of Plant Biology, <sup>(3)</sup> Atomic energy commission

Received  
08/11/2004  
Accepted 12/05/2005

### ABSTRACT

Cultivars of *Medicago Sativa* l.var local were planted in three locations, ten pots per location. The first location which is the most polluted one is (Bab Toma), second polluted one is (Jeser Victoria), and the reference location is (Daria), in the west of Damascus.

Temperature, relative humidity and concentrations of main pollutants in that three locations were measured.

Results showed that air pollution decreased growth of plants, amount of chlorophyll, production of plants, number of flowers, number of pods and leaf area in comparison with reference location.

**Key words:** Air pollution, Effect of polluted air on plant, Effect of polluted air on Alfalfa.



H<sub>2</sub>S

NO<sub>x</sub>

O<sub>3</sub>

Mansfield., 1976, Bell., 1986, Agrawal et al., 1999, Brian et al., 1975]  
.[2000

[El-banna et al., 1999]

Meslmanni, 2000]

.[2004

:  
 [Meslmanni, 2000] .1  
 .2  
 .3

(*Medicago sativa* 1.var.local)  
*Fabales* *Fabaceae*

[1977 ]

[1985 ] Inceptisol  
Clay

4 :

"Carbendazim" / 200 "Mocap"  
. %50

Randomized Complete

Block Design  
(ANOVA ) LSD, Stat view (4)

0.13 33 35 20  
 20 ½

(7 / 28)

6 / 17

Hydrograph

Thermograph

**Air pollutants**

H <sub>2</sub> S	:		
AF <sub>21</sub> M		SO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> S
CO		AC <sub>31</sub> M	NO, NO <sub>2</sub>
	O <sub>3</sub> 41M	O <sub>3</sub>	CO <sub>11</sub> M

Air Pollution Monitoring Station

Total

A-Environment S

.High Volume Air Sampler HVAS

Suspended Particulates TSP

100 75 50

46 20

15

30) Minolta, Spad-502 Chlorophyll meter

15) °60

(Klein & Klein, 1970)

50

:

$$\frac{\quad \times \quad}{\quad} =$$

2003/7/2 6/22 6/11 : : •  
 . 2003/7/20 7/12 7/2  
 (2003/7/28) 100 : •  
 (2003/8/23) 46  
 .( 15)

Temperature

(1)

° 40 )  
 .[1987 ] (

( ) (1)

9.5	22.5	11	23	11	23.5	
14.5	31.5	17	31.5	16.5	32.5	
17	33.5	19.5	33.5	18.5	34.5	
18	35.5	21.5	36.5	20	37	
18	37	21.5	37.5	20.5	37	
16	32.5	18	33	17.5	33.5	

%95 ] [1987

(2) %5

(%)	(2)		
51.37	47.66	53.02	
34.09	31.48	36.14	
44.28	38.35	42.69	
38.15	40.47	47.71	
40.09	43.31	48.23	
36.93	41.45	48.15	

(3)

15.44 1.05 :SO<sub>2</sub>

( )

ppb 34.16  
SO<sub>2</sub>

[WHO, 1987] (ppb19 -12)

ppb 31.91 16.59 5 :

O<sub>3</sub>

[Agrawal et al., 1999] (ppb 10)

70.01 41.75 12.54 :NOX

Agrawal ] ppb 70 15

ppb 2.99 2.22 0.38 : H<sub>2</sub>S

[et al., 1999

ppm 3.06 2.28 0.075 :CO

[Meslmani, 2000]



2005

(21)

SO<sub>2</sub> [Bell, 1986 ]  
 SO<sub>2</sub> ppb 88  
 ppb 19 -12  
 .[Mansfield, 1976 - WHO, 1987]  
 ppb 10 ppb 40  
 .[Agrawal et al., 1999]  
 ppb 68  
 .[Agrawal et al., 1999] ppb 70 15

.ppb

(3)

CO ppm	H <sub>2</sub> S	NO <sub>2</sub>	NOX	NO	O <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>		/
0.075	0.38	11.21	12.54	1.25	5	1.05	6/22-6/17	
2.28	2.22	18.97	41.75	23.34	16.59	15.44	6/2-5/28	
3.06	2.99	24.21	70.61	43.25	31.91	34.16	5/27-5/22	
-	-	-	68	-	40	88	( )	
-	-	-	70-15	-	10	19-12	( )	

<sup>3</sup> / 51.33 (4 ) TSP

<sup>3</sup> / 792.3 <sup>3</sup> / 285.67

.[2004 ]

TSP

(4)

<sup>3</sup> /

5 / 27 - 5 / 22	6 / 2 - 5 / 28	6 / 22 - 6 / 17	
792.3	285.67	51.33	TSP

**Pollutants effects**

-  
•

(5 )

. ( )

(2003 / 4 / 30 )

(5)

<b>L.S.D 95%</b>				
1.583	24 ± 0.471	24.6 ± 0.581	25 ± 0.577	

•

( )

(6 )

40.46

50

29.62

20.02

75

36.6 29.74

46.06

55.82

( 100 )

44.16 32.38

(6)

L.S.D 95%				-	
3.240	20.02±2.639	29.62±1.295	40.46±2.819	(6/9) 50	
4.012	29.74±1.240	36.6±1.829	46.06±0.450	(7/5) 75	
3.347	32.38±1.193	44.16±1.356	55.82±0.528	(7/28) 100	

( )

20

75

7

42.4 33.72

51.64

54.62    64.58    46  
66.5

CO<sub>2</sub>

[Colbek and Mackenzie, 1994; Zvereva and Kozlov ,2001]

.[El-banna and El-akied,1999]

2003 / 6 / 17

(7)

L.S.D 95%					
3.123	33.72±0.272	42.4±1.085	51.64±1.353	-	(7/7) 20
1.973	46.58±0.796	54.62±0.563	66.5±0.527	(8/23) 46	

41.91    30.76    (8 )  
53.85

(8 )  
40.90    30.70    52.04

[Agrawal et al., 1999-El-banna et al., 1999-Odasz Albrigtsen et al., 2000]

(7 / 13)

(8)

L.S.D 95%				
1.699	30.67±0.821	41.91±0.334	53.85±0.356	
2.050	30.70±0.721	40.90±0.633	52.04±0.638	

•

38

(9 )

20

[Mansfield, 1976-Ahmad, 1999]

(6/22)

6/11

(9 )

%38 %48

( / )

(9)

L.S.D 95%					
8.966	43.2±3.072	47.4±3.076	31±2.55	(6/22)	63
6.732	56.4±2.064	60.4±1.72	83±2.958	(7/2)	73

(7/2)

(10)

[Mansfield, 1976 - Ahmad, 1999]

( / )

(10)

L.S.D 95%					
9.557	18 ±2.864	24.4±4.545	-		7 / 2
10.281	35±2.739	46.4±3.842	46.8±3.338		7 / 12
10.739	52.6±1.887	56.2±3.891	68.2±4.212		7 / 20

19 25 57

11

(2003 /7/28 ) / (11)

L.S.D 95%				
4.536	18.45±0.684	24.84±1.772	56.66±1.701	
1.925	7.31±0.526	10.14±0.454	26.54±0.829	
3.103	11.13±0.444	14.71±1.408	30.12±0.929	

( 17/6) 5 9 18 (12)

44 77

(13)

( 8/23) 33

[El-banna et al., 1999 ,Boubel, Athers, 1992]

Biomass

CO<sub>2</sub>

– Bell, 1986 -Brian et al., 1975]

[2001

(2003 /6/17) / (12)

L.S.D 95%				
3.213	4.832±0.635	9.166±0.690	17.91±1.544	
1.626	2.416±0.331	4.23±0.345	9.06±0.779	
1.752	2.42±0.307	4.88±0.422	8.86±0.835	

(2003 / 8 / 23)

/

(13)

L.S.D 95%				
6.174	32.69±1.617	43.99±1.605	77.06±2.617	
3.146	13.8±0.787	15.56±0.609	29.33±1.462	
6.528	18.29±1.968	28.43±1.548	47.73±2.683	

(14)

%165 %191  
<sup>2</sup> 3310.84

<sup>2</sup> 1264.87 912.92

6/17

(14 )

%114 %275

(8/23)

(14 )

%88

%113

[El-banna et al., 1999]

. [2000 , - Bell, 1986]

(14)

(  $r^2$  )

912.92±65.07	1264.87±56.67	3310.84±103.42	7/28
301.37±41.28	527.68±43.07	1129.5±97.14	6/17
1721.55±98.19	1940.95±87.95	3658.29±182.37	8/23

(15)

%42 %79  
%40 %75

( / ) ( / ) (15)

						/
						7 / 28
18.12 ±1.066	1084.4 ±63.723	22.77 ±0.605	1362.8 ±35.804	31.78 ±1.132	1941 ±41.472	
149.504 =			L.S.D			
2.968 =			L.S.D			

(16)

%70 %94

%71 %94

[Odasz Albrigtsen et al., 2000]

( / ) ( / ) (16)

						/
						8/23
18.77 ±0.664	893.8 ±31.77	21.27 ±1.2	1023.6 ±61.824	36.45 ±2.433	1735 ±115.772	
240.228=			L.S.D			
4.968=			L.S.D			

5.3	1.9	TSP	( <sup>3</sup> / 150)	-
		NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub>	.	-
	%37	% 100		-
		%27	%69	-
		NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub>		-
		%300		-
%165	%191			-
				-



## REFERENCES

- .(1985) .  
ACSAD
- .(2001) -
- .78-63 ,2001 ,2 (17) ,
- .(2000)
- .47
- .(1977)
- 127
- .(1987) - -
- .92 , ,
- .(2004) -
- .9
- .(2003) SASMO
- Agrawal, S. B., Agrawal, M., (1999).** Environmental pollution and Plant Responses, Department of Biological Sciences, Allahabad, India.
- Ahmad, M. (1999).** The effect of air pollutants of Arak Aluminium factory on growth and development of certain species of Leguminosae. Dep. Of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Tarbiat Moalem University. Tahrán – Iran). Supreme Council of Sciences. 39 th Science week. 1999. Damascus. University of Damascus.
- Bell, J. N. B., (1986).** Book, Direct Effects of Air Pollutants in the Atmosphere and on Plants. Department of Pure and Applied Biology, Imperial College of Science and Technology , Silwood Park, Ascot, Berkshire SL5 7Ph, United Kingdom.
- Boubel, Richard. W., Fox, Donald. L., Turner, Bruce. D., Stem, Arthur. C., (1992).** Fundamentals of Air Pollution, Academic press San Diego, New York, Boston London, Sydney, Tokyo, Toronto. p113.
- Brian, J. M., Kozlowski, T. T., (1975).** Responses of Plants to Air Pollution, Academic press, New York, Sanfrancisco, London.
- Colbeck, I., Mackenzie, A. R., (1994).** Air Pollution by Photochemical Oxidants, Air Quality Monographs. vol.1., Amsterdam, London, New York, tokyo.

- El-banna, Y., El-akied, Z., (1999). Effects of Smoke Produced by Burning Crude Oil on Growth and Leaf Ultrastructure of *Vicia Faba*, Al-zagazig University & Mansoura University, Egypt. Supreme Council of Sciences. 39<sup>th</sup> Science week, 1999, Damascus, University of Damascus.
- Zvereva, EL Kozlov, MV., (2001). Effects of pollution-induced habitat disturbance on the response of willows to simulated herbivory, *Journal of Ecology*, 2001, Vol.89, Iss 1, pp.21-30 Zvereva EL, Univ Turkey, Dept Biol, Sect Ecol, FIN-20014 Turku, FINLAND.
- Klein, R. M., Klein, D.T., (1970). *Research Methods in Plant Science*, the Natural History Press, Garden City.
- Mansfield, T. A., (1976). *Effects of Air Pollutants on Plants*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, New York, Melbourne.
- Meslmani, Y., Habel, W., (2000). *Air Quality in Damascus*, Project of Technical Cooperation between the Syrian Arab Republic (E.S.R.C: Environmental and Scientific Research Center) and the German Federal Republic (GTZ).
- Odasz Albrigtsen, AM., Tommervik, H., Murph, P., (2000). Decreased photosynthetic efficiency in plant species exposed to multiple airborne pollutants along the Russian-Norwegian border. *Canadian Journal of Botany - Revue Canadienne de Botanique*, 2000, Vol.78, Iss 8, pp. 1021-1033, Natl Research Council Canada, Research Journals, Montreal Rd, Ottawa, Ontario K1a 0R6, Canada.
- WHO: World Health Organization (1987). *Air Quality Guidelines for Europe*, WHO Regional Publications, European Series No.23.