On the inverse limit of finite dimensional lie algebras

Nadine Ghandour⁽¹⁾ and Anwar Al-Lahham⁽¹⁾ and Nazih Nahlus⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Damascus, Syria.
 ⁽²⁾ Department of Mathematices, American University, Beirut,

Received 14/08/2005 Accepted 07/03/2006

ABSTRACT

We extend the well Known Levi-Malcev decomposition theorem of finite dimensional Lie algebras to the case of pro-finite dimensional Lie algebras $L = \underline{\lim} L_n$ ($n \in N$). We also prove that every finite dimensional homomorphic image of the Cartesian product of finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras is also nilpotent.

Key Words: Finite Lie algebras, Inverse limit, Lie groups.

(2)

.

•

•

(1)

(1) (2)

2005/08/14 2006/03/07

•

(1)

Levi – Malcev

homomorphic image

nilpotent

:

.

1. INTRODUCTION

Most of the general theory on Lie algebras has been established for finite dimensional Lie algebras. However, little is known about the general theory of infinite dimensional Lie algebras.

Important classes of such Lie algebras are the pro-finite dimensional Lie algebras $L = \underbrace{\lim}_{i} L_{i}$ which are inverse limits of finite dimensional Lie algebras. Such Lie algebras appear as the Lie algebras of pro-affine algebraic groups which play an important role in the representation theory of Lie groups ([4], [5]). So it is of interest to extend the basic theory (found for example in ([1], [2]) concerning the finite dimensional Lie algebras to the category of pro-finite dimensional Lie algebras.

In this paper, we generalize the Levi-Malcev decomposition theorem of finite dimensional Lie algebras to the inverse limit of finite dimensional Lie algebras $L = \underline{\lim}L_n$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). We prove that if $L = \underline{\lim}L_n$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$), where the L_n are finite dimensional Lie algebras, then $L = \mathbb{R} \oplus S$, where R is a pro-solvable ideal of L and S is a prosemisimple Lie sub-algebra.

We also prove that every finite dimensional homomorphic image of the Cartesian product of finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras $L = \prod N_i$ is also nilpotent.

All Lie algebras in this paper are considered over a fixed algebraically closed field \mathbf{K} of characteristic 0.⁽¹⁾

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS

Definition 1. Let I be a set with a partial ordering \leq . Suppose I is directed upwards, i.e., for every $i, j \in I$ there exists $k \in I$ such that $i \leq k$ and $j \leq k$.

Let $S = \{S_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of sets such that for every pair $(i, j) \in I \times I$ with $j \ge i$, there is a map $\pi_{ji} : S_j \rightarrow S_i$ satisfying

⁽¹⁾ 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14L, 16W, 17B45. 1

the following two conditions:

- (i) π_{ii} is the identity map for every $i \in I$
- (ii) if $i \le j \le k$, then $\pi_{ki} = \pi_{ji} \circ \pi_{ki}$

Let $\pi = \{ \pi_{ii} : (\pi_{ii} : S_i \to S_i) ; i, j \in I, j \ge i \}.$

Then (S, π) is called an inverse system and the maps $\pi_{ji} : S_j \rightarrow S_i$ are called the transition maps of the inverse system. The inverse limit of this system, denoted by $\varprojlim S_i$, is the subset of the Cartesian product $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$ consisting of all elements $s = (s_i)_{i \in I}$ such that π_{ji} $(s_j) = s_i$ for every $j \ge i$.

In other words, $\underline{\lim}S_i$ is the set of all elements $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ which are compatible with the transition maps $\pi_{ii} : S_i \rightarrow S_i$.

If (S, π) is an inverse system, let π_i : $\lim S_i \rightarrow S_i$ be the canonical projection sending $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ to s_i . Then $\pi_i = \pi_{ji} \circ \pi_j$ for every $i \le j$.

A surjective inverse system is an inverse system (S, π) whose transition maps $\pi_{ii} : S_i \rightarrow S_i$ are surjective.

Definition 2. Let I be a directed poset (partially ordered set). A subset J of I is said to be cofinal in I if for each $i \in I$ there exists $j \in J$ such that $i \le j$.

Define a sequence of ideals of a Lie algebra L, called the derived series, by $L^{(0)} = L$, $L^{(1)} = [L, L]$, $L^{(2)} = [L^{(1)}, L^{(1)}]$, ..., $L^{(i)} = [L^{(i-1)}, L^{(i-1)}]$.

Definition 3. A Lie algebra L is called solvable if $L^{(n)} = 0$ for some n in **N**.

Let S be a maximal solvable ideal of a Lie algebra L. If I is any other solvable ideal of L, then I + S = S, or $I \subset S$. Thus L has a unique maximal solvable ideal which is called the radical of L and it is

denoted by Rad L.

Definition4. A Lie algebra L is called semi-simple if its radical is 0.

Define a sequence of ideals of a Lie algebra L, called the descending central series, by $L^0 = L, L^1 = [L, L], L^2 = [L, L^1], \dots, L^i = [L, L^{i-1}].$

Definition 5. A Lie algebra L is called nilpotent if $L^n = 0$ for some n in N.

Definition 6. A Lie algebra L is abelian if and only if [L, L] = 0.

Definition 7. A Lie algebra is called prosemisimple if it is the inverse limit of finite dimensional semi-simple Lie algebras.

Definition 8. A Lie algebra is called pro-solvable if it is the inverse limit of finite dimensional solvable Lie algebras.

3. PRELIMINARIES

Proposition 1. If $X = \lim X_i$, $i \in I$ is an inverse limit of sets over a directed poset I and J is a cofinal subset of I, then a compatible family $\{x_j\}_{j \in J} \in \lim X_j$ can be completed to a compatible family ${x_i}_{i \in I} \in \lim X_i$ in a unique way.

Proof. Given a compatible family $\{x_j\}_{j \in J} \in \underline{\lim} X_j$, then because J is cofinal in I, for every i in I there exists j in J such that $j \ge i$, let $x_i = \pi_{ji} (x_j)$. This is well defined because, if j_1 and j_2 are both greater than i, and x_{i1} , x_{i2} are components of a compatible family $\{x_j\}_{j \in J}$, then there exists j_3 in J such that $j_3 \ge j_1$ and $j_3 \ge j_2$. So $\pi_{\rm j3,j1}, \pi_{\rm j3,j2}$ exist.

Moreover, $\pi_{i1,i}(x_{i1}) = \pi_{i2,i}(x_{i2}) = x_i$ because

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{x}_{i} = \pi_{j3,i}(\mathbf{x}_{j3}) = \pi_{j1,i} \left(\pi_{j3,j1}(\mathbf{x}_{j3})\right) = \pi_{j1,i}(\mathbf{x}_{j1}) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i} = \pi_{j3,i}(\mathbf{x}_{j3}) = \pi_{j2,i} \left(\pi_{j3,j2}(\mathbf{x}_{j3})\right) = \pi_{j2,i}(\mathbf{x}_{j2}). \\ \text{Thus} \quad \{\mathbf{x}_{i}\}_{i \in I} \in \underline{\lim} X_{i}. \end{array}$

This implies that $\lim_{i \to \infty} X_i$ (i \in I) is bijective to $\lim_{i \to \infty} X_i$ (j \in J).

Theorem 1. [1, p.65] *Let L* be a finite dimensional Lie algebra. *Then:*

(i) $L = R \oplus S$, where R is the radical of L and S is a Levi subalgebra of L.

(ii) For a subalgebra S of L to be a Levi subalgebra, it is necessary and sufficient that S is a maximal semisimple subalgebra of L.

(iii) Every ideal A of L can be written as $A = (A \cap R) \oplus (A \cap S)$.

Theorem 2. [3, p.12] Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra. Then

(i) If L is nilpotent, then so are all subalgebras and homomorphic images of L.

(ii) L is nilpotent if and only if all elements of L are ad-nilpotent.

(iii) If A is a nilpotent ideal of L such that L/A is nilpotent, then L itself is nilpotent.

Proposition 2. Let I be a solvable ideal of a finite dimensional Lie algebra L such that L/I is semisimple. Then I is the radical of L.

Proof. Because I is solvable, I + Rad L is a solvable ideal of L. Thus (I + Rad L)/I is a solvable ideal of L/I, but L/I is semisimple, therefore (I + Rad L)/I is 0, i.e. I + Rad L = I and I = Rad L.

Proposition 3. Let $f: L \rightarrow L'$ be a surjective homomorphism of finite dimensional Lie algebras. Then f(Rad L) = Rad L'.

Proof. Let $f': L/Rad L \rightarrow L'/f(Rad L)$ be given by

f'(l + Rad L) = f(l) + f(Rad L), then f' is a well defined surjective Lie algebra homomorphism. (L/Rad L)/Ker f' \cong L'/f(Rad L), but (L/Rad L)/Ker f' is semisimple because L/Rad L is semisimple, thus L'/f(Rad L) is semisimple, and by Proposition 2, f(Rad L) = Rad L'.

Proposition 4. Let $f: L \rightarrow L'$ be a surjective homomorphism of finite dimensional Lie algebras, and let S be a maximal semisimple Lie subalgebra of L. Then f(S) is a maximal semisimple Lie subalgebra of L'.

Proof. $L = \text{Rad } L \oplus S$, L' = f(L) = f(Rad L) + f(S) = Rad L' + f(S). But Rad L' is a solvable ideal of L' and f(S) is a semisimple subalgebra of L', thus Rad $L' \cap f(S)$ is $\{0\}$.

Thus $L' = \text{Rad } L' \oplus f(S)$ and by Theorem 1 f(S) is a maximal semisimple Lie subalgebra of L'.

Lemma 1. Let $f: L \rightarrow L'$ be a surjective homomorphism of finite dimensional Lie algebras. If S' is a maximal semisimple Lie subalgebra of L', then there exists a maximal semisimple Lie subalgebra S of L such that f(S) = S'.

Proof. According to Theorem 1, $f^{-1}(S')$ can be written as $f^{-1}(S') = \text{Rad} [f^{-1}(S')] \oplus S$, where **S** is a maximal semisimple Lie subalgebra of $f^{-1}(S')$. Let M be a maximal semisimple Lie subalgebra of L containing S, i.e. $S \subset M$, then $f(S) \subset f(M)$, but f(S) = S', because $f(\text{Rad} [f^{-1}(S')]) = 0$. Thus $S' \subset f(M)$, but S' is a maximal semisimple Lie subalgebra of L', hence f(M) = S', i.e. $M \subset f^{-1}(S')$. But **S** is a maximal semisimple Lie algebra of $f^{-1}(S')$ therefore M = S.

4. levi-malcev decomposition of the inverse limit of finite dimensional lie algebras

Theorem 3. Let $L = \underline{\lim} L_n$, $(n \in \mathbf{N})$, be the inverse limit of a surjective inverse system of finite dimensional Lie algebras over \mathbf{K} .

Then $L = R \oplus S$, where $R = \underline{\lim}R_n$ and $S = \underline{\lim}S_n$ for a compatible family of Levi subalgebras S_n of L_n .

Proof. Let $L_0 = R_0 \oplus S_0$ be a Levi decomposition of L_0 . By Lemma 1, there exists a maximal semisimple Lie algebra S_1 of L_1 such that $\pi_{1,0}(S_1) = S_0$. Similarly, there exists a maximal semisimple Lie algebra S_2 of L_2 such that $\pi_{2,1}(S_2) = S_1$, and so on we construct a compatible family of S_n . Also, the R_n form a compatible subinverse system of L since the radical is unique.

Let $R = \underset{n}{\lim}R_n$ and $S = \underset{n}{\lim}S_n$. Then, $L = R \oplus S$: for an arbitrary element 1 in L, $l = (l_n)_n \in N = (r_n + s_n)$, where $r_n \in R_n$, $s_n \in S_n$. If $l_{n+1} = r_{n+1} + s_{n+1}$, $l_n = r_n + s_n$ and $l_{n-1} = r_{n-1} + s_{n-1}$, where s_{n-1} , s_n and s_{n+1} belong respectively to the elements S_{n-1} , S_n and S_{n+1} of the compatible family $\{S_n\}$. Then, because the l_n are compatible,

 $\pi_{n+1,n-1}(l_{n+1}) = l_{n-1}, \text{ thus } \pi_{n+1,n-1}(r_{n+1} + s_{n+1}) = r_{n-1} + s_{n-1}, \text{ i.e.,}$ $\pi_{n+1,n-1}(r_{n+1}) - r_{n-1} = s_{n-1} - \pi_{n+1,n-1}(s_{n+1}) = 0, \text{ since } R_{n-1} \cap S_{n-1} = \{0\}.$ Thus, $\pi_{n+1,n-1}(r_{n+1}) = r_{n-1}$ and $\pi_{n+1,n-1}(s_{n+1}) = s_{n-1}.$ Thus the r_n and the s_n are compatible.

Hence $l = (r_n) + (s_n) \in \mathbb{R} + S$. Also $\mathbb{R} \cap S = \{0\}$: Suppose there exists an element $a = (a_n)$ in $\mathbb{R} \cap S$. Then, for every n in N, $a_n \in \mathbb{R}_n \cap S_n$, but $\mathbb{R}_n \cap S_n = \{0\}$, thus $a_n = 0$ for every n in N, and consequently $a = (a_n) = (0)$.

Hence $R \cap S = \{0\}$ and $L = R \oplus S$.

We will call S a prolevi subalgebra of L and R the proradical of L.

From the above theorem the following results follow directly:

Proposition 5. Let $L = R \oplus S$ be the inverse limit of a surjective inverse system of finite dimensional Lie algebras over **K**, where R is the proradical of L and S is a prolevi subalgebra of L. Then:

(*i*)*R* is the largest prosolvable ideal of L.

(ii) S is a maximal prosemisimple subalgebra of L.

Corollary 1. Let A be a closed ideal of a pro-finite dimensional Lie algebra $L = R \oplus S$. Then $A = (A \cap R) \oplus (A \cap S)$.

Proof. Let S_n , R_n and A_n be the nth projection of S, R and A respectively. Then, from Theorem 1, we know that

 $A_{n} = (A_{n} \cap R_{n}) \oplus (A_{n} \cap S_{n})$

Also because A is closed, $A = \underline{\lim} A_n$. Thus,

A= $\lim [(A_n \cap R_n) \oplus (A_n \cap S_n)]$. For an arbitrary element a in A,

 $a = (a_n)_{n \in N} = (r_n + s_n)$, where $r_n \in A_n \cap R_n$ and $s_n \in A_n \cap S_n$. If $a_{n+1} = r_{n+1} + s_{n+1}$, $a_n = r_n + s_n$ and $a_{n-1} = r_{n-1} + s_{n-1}$, where s_{n+1} , s_n and s_{n-1} belong respectively to the elements $A_{n-1} \cap S_{n-1}$, $A_n \cap S_n$ and $A_{n+1} \cap S_{n+1}$ of the compatible family $\{A_n \cap S_n\}$. Then, because the a_n are compatible,

 $\pi_{n+1,n-1}(a_{n+1}) = a_{n-1}, \text{ thus } \pi_{n+1,n-1}(r_{n+1} + s_{n+1}) = r_{n-1} + s_{n-1}, \text{ i.e.,}$ $\pi_{n+1,n-1}(r_{n+1}) + \pi_{n+1,n-1}(s_{n+1}) = r_{n-1} + s_{n-1},$

 $\pi_{n+1,n-1}(r_{n+1}) \cdot r_{n-1} = s_{n-1} - \pi_{n+1,n-1}(s_{n+1}) = 0.$

Thus, $\pi_{n+1,n-1}(r_{n+1}) = r_{n-1}$ and $\pi_{n+1,n-1}(s_{n+1}) = s_{n-1}$. Thus the r_n and the s_n are compatible. Hence $a = (r_n) + (s_n)$.

Thus, $A = \underline{\lim} [(A_n \cap R_n) \oplus (A_n \cap S_n)] = \underline{\lim} (A_n \cap R_n) \oplus \underline{\lim} (A_n \cap S_n).$

But $(A_n \cap R_n) \subset A_n$ and $(A_n \cap R_n) \subset R_n$, for all n, thus $\lim (A_n \cap R_n) \subset \lim A_n = A$ and $\lim (A_n \cap R_n) \subset \lim R_n = R$,

hence $\lim (A_n \cap R_n) \subset (A \cap R)$.

Similarly, $\lim_{n \to \infty} (A_n \cap S_n) \subset (A \cap S)$.

Thus, $A = \underline{\lim} (A_n \cap R_n) \oplus \underline{\lim} (A_n \cap S_n) \subset (A \cap R) \oplus (A \cap S)$, and consequently $A = (A \cap R) \oplus (A \cap S)$.

Remark 1. Let $L = \lim_{i \to I} L_i$, $i \in I$, be the inverse limit of a surjective inverse system of finite dimensional Lie algebras over **K**, where I is a countable set with directed upwards partial ordering. Then, if I has a maximum element M, we get $L \cong L_M$. Otherwise, we can construct a cofinal subset J of I such that $J \cong N$, i.e. the bijection between J and N

preserves the order. This is done as follows: Suppose I = $(a_1, a_2,...)$. Let $\alpha_1 = a_1$. Choose α_2 to be Max (a_2, α_1) , ..., α_n to be Max (a_n, α_{n-1}) .

Where:

 $Max (a_{i}, a_{j}) = \begin{cases} a_{i} ; if a_{i} \ge a_{j} \\ a_{j} ; if a_{j} \ge a_{i} \\ a_{k} ; if a_{i} and a_{j} are not comparable \\ Where a_{k} \ge a_{i} and a_{k} \ge a_{j} \end{cases}$

 a_k exists since I is directed upwards.

Let $J = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{n,...}\}.$

Then J is a cofinal subset of I, and $J \cong N$.

Thus, $L = \underline{\lim} L_i$, $(i \in I) \cong \underline{\lim} L_n$, $(n \in N)$.

From the above Remark and using Proposition 1 and Theorem 3 we get the following result:

Theorem 4. Let $L = \lim_{i \to i} L_{i,i}$ ($i \in I$), be the inverse limit of a surjective inverse system of finite dimensional Lie algebras over **K**, where I is a countable set with directed upwards partial ordering, then $L = R \oplus S$, where $R = \lim_{i \to i} R_{i,i}$ and $S = \lim_{i \to i} S_i$ for a compatible family of Levi subalgebras S_i of L_i .

5. on the cartesian product of finite dimensional nilpotent lie algebras

Lemma 2. Let $L = \prod_{i \in N} N_i$, where the N_i are finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras. Suppose that J is an ideal of L such that L/J is finite dimensional and J contains every N_i , and let $l = (b_i)_{i \in N}$

be an arbitrary element in $L \setminus (\bigoplus_{i \in N} N_i)$. Then there exists a sequence

of non-zero elements $(\theta_i)_i \in \mathbb{N}$ of **K** such that $(\theta_i b_i)_i \in \mathbb{N} \in J$.

Proof. Let S be the subspace of L spanned by the vectors $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $x_k = ((1+i)^k b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then :

1. the family $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is linearly independent.

For if $\sum_{k \in T} \lambda_k x_k = 0$ and T is a finite subset of N then we have

 $(P(i)b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = 0$ with $P(j) = \sum_{k \in T} \lambda_k (j+1)^k$ and from the fact that

 $\{i : b_i \neq 0\}$ is infinite $(l \notin \bigoplus N_i)$ we conclude that P has infinitely

many zeros, that is P = 0 i.e. for every k, $\lambda_k = 0$.

2. J \cap S \neq {0}:

By 1 above, we have dim $S = +\infty$ so the assumptions that $(\dim L/J) < +\infty$ implies that $J \cap S \neq \{0\}$. 3. Conclusion:

Let a be any element of $(J \cap S) \setminus \{0\}$. Then $a = \sum_{k \in V} \mu_k x_k$ and

V is a finite subset of **N** and if we put $Q(x) = \sum_{k \in V} \mu_k (x+1)^k$, we see

that $a = (Q(i)b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Since Q is a non-zero polynomial it has a finite number of zeros; Let $F = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : Q(i) = 0\}$ and let us define the sequence $(\theta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as follows: $\theta_i = Q(i)$ if $Q(i) \neq 0$ and $\theta_i = 1$ if Q(i) = 0.

In this case $[(\theta_i b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} - a] \in \bigoplus_{i \in F} N_i \subset J$ and because $a \in J$

we conclude that $(\theta_i b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in J.$

Lemma 3. Let $L = \prod_{i \in N} N_i$, where the N_i are finite dimensional

nilpotent Lie algebras. If J is an ideal of L such that L/J is finite dimensional and J contains every N_i , then L/J is abelian.

Proof. We shall prove that $[L, L] \subset J$. For an arbitrary element [l, l'] in [L, L] we have $[l, l'] = [(b_i), (b_i')]$, where

 $(\mathbf{b}_i) = (\mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \ldots); \mathbf{b}_i \in N_i; \text{ and } (\mathbf{b}'_i) = (\mathbf{b}'_0, \mathbf{b}'_1, \mathbf{b}'_2, \ldots); \mathbf{b}'_i \in N_i.$ *N_i.* If only finitely many \mathbf{b}_i are non-zero, then $(\mathbf{b}_i) \in \bigoplus N_i \subset J$. But J is an ideal of L, thus $[1, 1'] = [(\mathbf{b}_i), (\mathbf{b}_i')] \in J$.

Otherwise, by Lemma 2 there exists a sequence of non-zero elements $(\theta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of **K** such that $(\theta_i b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in J$.

Thus
$$[(\theta_i b_i), (\frac{b'_i}{\theta_i})] \in J$$
. But $[(\theta_i b_i), (\frac{b'_i}{\theta_i})] = [(b_i), (b'_i)] = [l, l']$.

Thus $[l,l'] \in J$. Hence for an arbitrary element x in [L, L], x which is a finite combination of [l, l'] belongs to J. This being true for every x in [L, L].

Therefore $[L, L] \subset J$, and hence L/J is abelian.

Theorem 5. Let $L = \prod_{i \in N} N_i$, where the N_i are finite dimensional

nilpotent Lie algebras. If A is an ideal of L such that L/A is finite dimensional, then L/A is nilpotent.

Proof. Suppose first that A contains every N_i , then by the above Lemma, L/A is abelian and thus nilpotent. Otherwise, let $V = \bigoplus N_i$. Then A+V is an ideal of L that contains every N_i, and by Lemma 3, $[L, L] \subset A + V$.

Thus L/(A +V) is abelian. But $(L/A)/[(A + V)/A] \approx L/(A + V)$ and (A+V)/A is nilpotent since $(A + V)/A \approx V/(A \cap V)$ which is nilpotent since it is of finite dimension and every element of V is adnilpotent. Thus, by Theorem 2, L/A is nilpotent as desired.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bourbaki, N. (1989.), "Lie Groups and Lie Algebras", Springer-Verlag.
- [2] Hochschild, G. (1981), "Basic theory of algebraic groups and Lie algebras".
- [2] Hochschild, G. (1961), "Basic theory of algebraic groups and Lie algebras". Graduate Texts in Math. 75, Springer-Verlag,.
 [3] Humphreys, J. E. (1972), "Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory". Second printing, Springer-Verlag,
 [4] Nahlus, N. (1994), "Basic groups of Lie algebras and representation theory". Pacific J. Math. 116, 621-636.
- [5] Nahlus, N. (2002), " Lie algebras of proaffine algebraic groups". Canad. J. Math. 54, 595-607.