

(5)

(68)

(187)

(547)



.

.

:

.

.

-

)

.(1992

.

.

1992

)

.(MOE,2004;

.

(2004)

(1996)

(Beauchamp,1981)

(Tobin &Tippins,1993)

(Seguin, 1989)

(Brunswic&Hajjar,1992)

(Seguin,1989 1986 1996 2004)

:

-
-

-
-
-

(Ebenezer, Manitoba, Hay and Garry, 1995; Appleton and ;1998)

Asoko, 1996

- -

2004)

(Spiegel&Wright, 1984; Newton, 1984 1998

(McLeod,1979 1998)

)
.(
Gronlund, 2002 2005)
1985; Feyerisen, Fiorino and Nowak, 1970)

2002 2004 2005)
Langhan, 1993; Harrison, 1980; Gilliland, 1976; Doran&Sheard, 1974)
:

(1997)

(1998)

(1999)

(2001)

(2002)

(2004)

..

(2004)

(2005)

(2005)

(2005)

2001 1999)

.(2005 2004

:

(2002)

)

.(1996

.(2002)

:

..



(1

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

(2

()

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

(3

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

(4

:

.

- -

.
.

:

:

:

▪

:

▪

()

:

▪

:

:

•

•

:

(547)

(187)

:

2001 1999)
(103)

.(2004

)

.(

(68)

(9)

(35)

(8)

:

(7)

(9)

(27)

(Cronbach-Alpha) -

(1)

.(0.97)

(1)

0.87	8	
0.96	35	
0.90	9	
0.91	9	
0.89	7	
0.97	68	

:

:

:

:

:

:

.1

:

:

.2

+

:

:

.3

:

:

:

(SPSS)

:

(1

(t-test) (2

(One-Way ANOVA) (3

:

:

" :

:

:

(4.50) ■

(4.50 3.50) ■

(3.50 2.50) ▪

(2.50 1.50) ▪

(1.50) ▪

(2)

(2)

	0.79	4.05		1
	0.75	3.83		2
	0.72	3.70		3
	0.74	3.53		4
	0.68	3.50		5

(4.05)

." "

.(3.83 - 3.50)

(2004 2001)

.(2005 1999)

(3)

(3)

0.84	3.99		1
0.85	3.72		3
0.85	3.71		5
0.90	3.70		4
1.03	3.66		2
1.00	3.54		6
1.20	3.03		7
1.34	2.90	()	8

(3)

(3.99-3.54)

(6-1)

" "

(Davies, 1976)

1)

(3

Nicholls and Nicholls, 1978, Gronlund, 1985;)

Burns and Brooks, 1970).

(Warwick, 1975)

(8 7)

(3)

(MOE, 2004)

:

(4)

(4)

0.86	4.17		1
0.88	4.02		2
0.79	4.01		3
0.90	3.95		4
0.86	3.90		5
0.91	3.87		6
0.96	3.80		7
0.99	3.79		8
0.91	3.74		9
0.99	3.70		10
1.04	3.68)	11
		(....	
1.05	3.67)	12
		(.....	
0.84	3.66		13
1.05	3.60		14
0.98	3.60		15
0.95	3.57		16
0.96	3.53		17
0.96	3.50		18
0.94	3.50		19
1.06	3.48		20
1.03	3.45		21

..

1.10	3.45		22
1.08	3.42		23
0.98	3.42		24
1.04	3.31		25
1.03	3.30		26
1.11	3.27		27
1.09	3.22		28
1.12	3.14		29
1.42	3.10		30
1.13	3.08		31
1.18	3.06		32
1.13	3.04		33
1.29	2.84		34
1.27	2.80		35

" "

(4) (19-1)

(4) .(4.17-3.50)

" "

(35-20)

.(3.48-2.80)

(4)

" "

(19-1)

.(4.17)

(19-4)
(3.95 - 3.50)

:

•

•

•

.(1992)

(35 -20)

(4)

:

" "

➤

➤

➤

.(2003)

.(26 25)

➤

➤

:

(5)

(5)

0.88	3.98		1
0.84	3.93		2
0.86	3.87		3
0.99	3.84		4
0.91	3.71		5
0.98	3.68		6
1.02	3.56		7
1.00	3.47		8

(7-1) (5)

" "

.(3.98-3.56)

Bentley and Watts, 1994;)

.(Graham, 1994

(Gilliland and, 1976; Harrison, 1980; Peacock, 1995)

(5)

(3.47)

" " " "

(Tweisat, 1998; Al-Saydeh, 2002;)

.
:
:

(6)

(6)

0.88	3.96		1
1.16	3.67)	2
		(
1.15	3.66)	3
		(.....	
1.01	3.60		4
0.95	3.60		5
1.00	3.55		6
1.09	3.52		7
1.04	3.49	(.....)	8
1.00	3.37		9

" "

(3.96 -3.52)

)

.(Gronlund, 1985;2003

(6)

(9 8)

.(3.37 3.49)

" "

:

(7)

:(7)

0.85	4.00		1
0.93	3.98		2
0.94	3.82		3
1.10	3.78		4
0.93	3.76		5
1.03	3.74		6
0.98	3.72		7

" "

(7)

(Barbara,

Mertaugh, Read and Cohen, 1988)

" "

Graham,)

(1978

(ACCU, 1992; Langhan, 1993; Graham, 1978)

:

" :

()

(0.05 ≥ α)

(t-test)

"

(8)

:(8)

	()				
0.005	1.76	52.4290	250.64	75	
		36.2734	239.14	111	

(0.05 ≥ α)

(1999)

(2004)

(2001)

:

" :

(0.05 ≥ α)

One- Way)

"

(ANOVA

(9)

:(9)

*						
α						
0.690	0.372	2	716.15	1432.31		
		183	1926.92	352627.65		

(0.05 ≥ α)

*

(9)

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

(0.372)

(2001)

(1999)

(2005)

(2004)

:

" :

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

One- Way)

"

(ANOVA

(10)

:(10)

*						
α						
*0.023	3.24	3	5993.64	17980.92		
		183	1846.58	336079.04		

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

*

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

(10)

(11)

(Tukey)

(11)

221.28	271.25	245.74	238.09		
*				238.09	
				245.74	
				271.25	+
				221.28	

(2004)
 (2001) (1999) .(2005)
 :

MOE,)
 : .(2004

..

•

.

•

.

•

.

.(1986) •

.162-125 :(1)2

" .(2005) •

"

.242-229 :(2)32

.(2002) •

.103-73 :(1)14

.(1999) •

.95-75 (8)16

: .(2004) •

.141-103 :

: .(2004) •

.(2005) •

.20-1 :(1)1

-
- .(1996) •
 - .325-300
 - .(2002) •
 - 381 :(2)29
 - .403
 - .(2005) •
 - .(1990) •
 - .(2004) •
 - .(1998) •
 - .335-299 :
 - : .(2003)
 - : .
 - .(2001) •

.(1997) •

.225-119 :

.(1988) •

.(3,4) 29 ()

.(1992) •

- ACCU. (1992). **How to Write Good Science Books for Children and Youths**. UNESCO: Asian Cultural Centre for UNESCO.
- Al-Saydeh, M. (2002). **Pre-Vocational Education in Jordan: Implications for Teacher Education and In-Service Preparation**. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Huddersfield, England.
- Appleton, K. and Asoko, H. (1996). A case Study of a Teacher's Progress Towards Using a Constructivist View of Learning to Inform Teaching in Elementary Science. **Science Education**, 80(2): 165-180
- Barbara, S., Mertaugh, M., Read, A. and Cohen , P. (1988). **Improving the Quality of Textbooks in China**. Washington : the World Bank
- Beauchamp, G. (1981). **Curriculum Theory**. Illinois: Peacock.
- Bentley, D. and Watts, M. (1994). **Primary Science and Technology: Practical Alternatives**. Buckingham: Open University.
- Brunswic, E. and Hajjar, H. (1992). **Planning Textbook Development for Primary Education in Africa**. Paris: UNESCO.
- Burns, R. and Brooks, G. (1970). **Curriculum Design in a Changing Society**. New Jersey: Educational Technology Publication.
- Davies, I. (1976). **Objectives in Curriculum Design**. London: McGraw-Hill Book Company
- Doran, K. and Sheard, D. (1974). Analysing Science Textbooks. **School Science and Mathematics**, 74(651), 31-39.
- Ebenezer, J., Manitoba, C. Hay, A. and Garry, F. (1995). Pre-service Teachers: Meaning-Making in Science Instruction: a Case Study in Manitoba. **International Journal of Science Education**, 17 (1): 93-105.
- Feyerisen, K., Fiorino, J. and Nowak, A. (1970). **Supervision and Curriculum Renewal: A Systems Approach**. New York: Meredith Corporation.

-
- Gilliland, J. (1976). **Readability in the Classroom**. London: Hodder and Stoughton.
 - Graham, W. (1978). Readability and Science Textbooks. **School Science Review**, 59(208), pp.545-549.
 - Gronlund, N. (1985). **Stating Objectives for Classroom**. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc.
 - Harrison, C. (1980). **Readability in the Classroom**. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
 - Langhan, D. (1993). **The Textbook as a Source of Difficulty in Teaching and Learning: Final Report of the Threshold 2 Project**. Pretoria: Human Sciences Research Council.
 - McLeod, R. (1979). Selecting a Textbook for Good Science Teaching. **Science and Children**, 17(2): 14-15.
 - MOE (2004). "Education Reform for Knowledge Economy (ERfKE) Overview". **Documents Presented to the Jordan-UK ICT in Education conference**, Amman, March.
 - Newton, D. (1984). Textbooks in Science Teaching. **School Science Review**, 66(235): 388-391.
 - Nicholls, A. and Nicholls, S. (1978). **Developing a Curriculum: a Practical Guide**. London: Cox and Wymat Ltd.
 - Peacock, A. (1995). The Use of Primary Science Schemes with Second Language Learners. **School Science Review**, 30: 14-15.
 - Seguin, R. (1989). **The Elaboration of School Textbooks**. Paris: UNESCO.
 - Spiegel, D. and Wright, J. (1984). Biology Teachers' Preferences in Textbook Characteristics. **Journal of Reading**, 27(4): 24-628.
 - Tobin, K. and Tippins, D. (1993). Constructivism as a Referent for Teaching and Learning. In Tobin, K. (ed). **The Practice of Constructivism in Science Education**. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
 - Tweisat, A. (1998). **The Effectiveness and Efficiency of Jordanian Pre-vocational Education Provision**. Unpublished Ph.D Dissertation, University of Huddersfield-England.
 - Warwick, P. (1975). Developing a Scientific Way of Working with Young Children. In Warwick, P. and Linfield, R. (ed). **Science 3-13: the Past, the Present and Possible Futures**. London: Routledge Falmer.

.2006/7/16