

(*Gossypium barbadense* L.)

(1)

2008/2007 2007/2006

%100 %50 :

90 -

:

(1)

...

Effect of Removing Early Developed Buds on Growth, Yield and Quality of Two Cotton Cultivars (*Gossypium barbadense* L.)

M. A. H. Amin⁽¹⁾

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted for two consecutive seasons 2006 – 2007 and 2007 – 2008 to investigate the effect of removing early developed buds on growth, yield and some lint proprieties. Treatments involved in the experiment included three levels of bud removal (0%, 50%, and 100%) at three times (one, two and three weeks after budding). The treatments were arranged in FRCBD, with three replications. Growth parameters (plant height, number of nodes/plant, number of leaves/plant, and number of branches/plant) cotton seed yield, lint yield and lint properties were investigated. The results revealed that bud removal significantly increased plant height, number of nodes, cotton seed yield and lint yield, uniformity (UR) and lint strength (HVI). Also the results showed that, time of bud removal significantly affected growth parameters, cotton seed yield and lint cotton. The results indicated that, varieties and time of bud removal interaction had a significant affect on growth parameters. While, percentage of bud removal and time of bud removal interaction had a significant effect only on cotton seed yield and strength of lint. The results showed that, there were significant difference between cultivars in plant height and number of branches per plant, with cultivar Barakat – 90 the best.

Key words: Cotton, Buds, Quantitative traits.

⁽¹⁾Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Omdurman Islamic, Sudan.

Malvaceae

.(1994) *Gossypium*

.(2004) .

32° 37°

(1999) *Gosterhuls Holman* .(2004)

.(

Koshal Ahmed)

(6) (5)

.(1997

Pettigrew) .(1996 *Kelly Brook* 1997)

Bednarz ^b1996 *Jones* 1991 *Kennedy* 1992

%9-6 .(2001 *Robert*

(^b1996) *Jones* . 90

(1995) *Sadras* .

(2001) *Randy* .

100

(1995 *Sadras* 1986 *Mauney*) .

(1991) *Kennedy* .

.(1994) *Baskin Snipes*

(1992) *Kelly Brook*

%.100

Jones 1992

Pettigrew 1991 *Krieg Peng*)

(^a1996) *Jones* .(1997

Robert Bednarz

(2001)

(%50)

(%100 %50)

(%31.9)

Bauer (%8.8)

(2002)

(1996) Bradow

(1976) Hearn

(2004) (1997)

(2004)

.%100 %50

(2008-2007) (2007-2006)

(%15-3)

(%66-58)

(48omL/kg soil)

CEC

(8.2-7.5)

pH (%25)

2.6mg/kg)

%1

(%5.0)

.(soil

22°C - 18°C

39°C - 25°C

.² 144

54

. 50

15

80

(V₂) 90 -

(V₁)

- 38
 19 20 45 90
 (2008-2007) (2007-2006)
 (87.6kgN/ha) (46%N)
 (48%P₂O₅) 6
 : 43kgP₂O₅/ha
 %100 %50

(LSD)

: -

%100 %50
 .50%

123.83

90 -

.(3b 3a)

Sadras 1992 *Pettigrew*
Robert Bendarz 1995 *Sadras* 1999 *Gosterhuls* *Holman* 1995
 (2001

33.16 35.39 %100 %50
 .(3b 3a) %50

...
 (1996 Jones) .
 %50 .%100

.(3b 3a) (59.15) 90 -

(1995) *Sadras* .
 . %100 %50 .(2001) *Randy*
 %50

35.28 34.50

90 -

.(3b 3a)

.(1986 *Mauney*)

(3a)

Season 2006 - 2007

Plant age	Plant height (cm)						
	Main effect of removed buds			LSD at 5%	Main effect of variety		LSD at 5%
	0%	50%	100%		V ₁	V ₂	
At harvest	111.56	123.83	119.44	6.49	116.78	119.78	6.30
	Number of nodes						
	Main effect of removed buds			LSD at 5%	Main effect of variety		LSD at 5%
	0%	50%	100%		V ₁	V ₂	
At harvest	32.00	35.39	35.00	2.49	33.70	34.66	2.02
	Number of Leaves						
	Main effect of removed buds			LSD at 5%	Main effect of variety		LSD at 5%
	0%	50%	100%		V ₁	V ₂	
At harvest	55.39	57.56	64.78	10.83	60.85	57.63	8.84
	Number of branches						
	Main effect of removed buds			LSD at 5%	Main effect of variety		LSD at 5%
	0%	50%	100%		V ₁	V ₂	
At harvest	28.83	34.50	27.94	5.27	27.40	32.11	4.30

(3b)

Season 2007 - 2008

Plant age	Plant height (cm)						
	Main effect of removed buds			LSD	Main effect of variety		LSD
	0%	50%	100%	at 5%	V ₁	V ₂	at 5%
At harvest	113.28	119.78	116.89	5.26	114.82	118.48	3.29
Number of nodes							
	Main effect of removed buds			LSD	Main effect of variety		LSD
	0%	50%	100%	at 5%	V ₁	V ₂	at 5%
	At harvest	31.89	33.16	32.67	2.35	31.92	33.32
Number of Leaves							
	Main effect of removed buds			LSD	Main effect of variety		LSD
	0%	50%	100%	at 5%	V ₁	V ₂	at 5%
	At harvest	53.16	59.00	58.22	11.60	36.44	59.15
Number of branches							
	Main effect of removed buds			LSD	Main effect of variety		LSD
	0%	50%	100%	at 5%	V ₁	V ₂	at 5%
	At harvest	30.67	35.28	28.89	3.46	22.07	32.37

.(4)

(4)

.()

Variety	Time of removed buds			Variety mean
	W ₁	W ₂	W ₃	
	Season 2006 - 2007			
V ₁	64.00	47.56	52.89	54.81
V ₂	60.33	59.67	71.00	63.66
Time mean	62.17	53.62	61.94	
Season 2007 - 2008				
V ₁	48.78	54.44	60.11	54.44
V ₂	58.11	53.89	65.44	59.15
Time mean	53.45	54.17	62.78	

:

-

.(5)

(s/c)

1617.50kg/ha. 1710.83kg/ha.

(5)

(kg/ha)

Parameters	Percentage removal			LSD at 5%	Main effect of variety		LSD 5%
	0%	50%	100%		V ₁	V ₂	
<i>Season 2006 - 2007</i>							
Seed/c	1461.67	1710.83	1639.17	149.38	1652.22	1555.56	121.97
Lint	487.50	569.17	552.00	49.81	555.78	516.67	40.67
<i>Season 2007 - 2008</i>							
Seed/c	1511.39	1617.50	1577.44	153.80	1590.22	1547.33	337.70
Lint	510.56	541.94	525.06	76.92	529.96	521.74	97.68

(lint)

.%100

%50

1693.33kg/ha.

1690.30kg/ha.

544.28kg/ha. 566.17kg/ha.

(6)

(kg/ha)

(6)

Parameters	Time of removed buds			LSD at 5%
	W ₁	W ₂	W ₃	
<i>Season 2006 - 2007</i>				
Seed/c	1481.67	1636.67	1693.33	149.38
Lint	492.50	550.00	566.17	48.80
<i>Season 2007 - 2008</i>				
Seed/c	1485.61	1590.56	16.30	153.80
Lint	497.22	536.06	544.28	54.39

(2002 2004)

(8)
(kg/ha)

Parameters	Percentage removed buds			LSD at 5%	Main effect of variety		LSD at 5%
	0%	50%	100%		V ₁	V ₂	
<i>Season 2006 - 2007</i>							
Length of lint(cm)	30.36	31.53	32.21	2.81	31.59	31.15	2.30
HV₁	32.50	33.40	34.14	2.00	33.21	33.54	1.64
MIC	3.21	3.23	3.26	0.20	3.21	3.25	0.17
UR%	83.58	84.41	84.63	1.05	83.84	84.57	0.86
<i>Season 2007 - 2008</i>							
Length of lint(cm)	31.68	32.11	31.99	0.72	31.99	31.87	0.85
HV₁	33.32	33.81	34.24	1.39	34.57	32.94	1.14
MIC	3.34	3.35	3.38	0.22	3.42	3.30	0.18
UR%	83.88	84.20	85.16	0.87	84.49	84.34	0.71

(1983 *Human Greef*) 3.0

(1997) (1982) *Khalifa Ali*

1979 *Elmenshowly*)

(1997 *Coyle Smith* 1990 *Culp Green* 1994 *Elshaer*

(9)

Parameters	Time of removed buds			Mean
	W ₁	W ₂	W ₃	
<i>Season 2006 - 2007</i>				
0%	33.58	34.81	34.03	34.14
50%	31.77	33.00	32.89	32.58
100%	32.93	33.17	34.10	33.40
Time mean	32.76	33.66	33.70	
<i>Season 2007 - 2008</i>				
0%	34.43	35.83	32.47	34.24
50%	32.81	33.57	33.27	33.21
100%	34.18	32.51	34.73	33.80
Time mean	33.80	33.96	33.49	

LSD: Season 2005 – 2006

T = 2.00

P = 2.00

T x P = 3.47

LSD: Season 2006 – 2007

T = 1.39

P = 1.39

T x P = 2.42

%100 %50	.1
	.2
.%50	.3
	.4
%50	.5

REFERENCES

- () : .(2002) .
- .(2004) .
- .(2004) .
- .(2004) .
- .472 : : .(1994) .
- .(2004) .
- .(1997) .
- Ahmed A. A. and Koshal E. H. (1977). The Egyptian cotton Annual Book.
- Ali, N. A. and Khalifa, H. (1982). Implication of Sugars in Cotton Lint. Symposium of Cotton Production and Marketing. May 17th. 1982.
- Bauer, P. J. and Bradow J. M. (1996). Cotton genotype response to early – season cold temperatures. *Crop Sci.* 36: pp: 1602-1606.
- Bednarz, C. W. and Roberts, P.M. (2001). Spatial yield distribution following early-season floral bud removal. *Crop Sci.* 41: pp: 1800-1808.
- Brook, K. D.; and Kelly C. F. (1992). Response of cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum L.*, to damage by insect pests in Australia: manual simulation of damage. *J. Econ. Entomology.* 85: pp: 1368-1377.
- Coyle, G. G. and Smith, C. W. (1997). Combiring ability for within –boll yield components in cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum L.* *Crop Sci.* 37: pp: 1118-1122.
- Elmenshowly, S. A.; Rizk. M. A.; wide. M. H.; Salluma, B. M. and Hegab, A. A. (1979). Fibre and Yarn Physical Properties as Affected by Cradles. *The Egyptian gazette.* 27: pp: 87-101.
- Elshaer, M. H. (1994). The influence of boll opening date and pre Harvest exposure to weathering crate, method of picking on grade and lint properties of Ashmoni. Egyptian Cotton variety. Ph.D Thesis Faculty of Agric. Cairo University, Egypt.
- Greef, A/I. and J. J. Human. (1983). The effect of date of planting on the fibre properties of four cotton cultivars grown under irrigation. *S. Afri. J. Plant soil* 5: pp: 167- 172.
- Green, C. C. and T. W. Culp. (1990). Simultaneous improvements of yield. Fibre quality, and yarn strength in upland cotton. *Crop Sci.* 30: pp: 66-69.

- Hearn, A. B. (1976). Response of cotton to nitrogen and water in a tropical environment. III. Fibre quality. *J. Agric. Sci. (Camb)* 84: pp: 257-269.
- Holman, E. M., and Gosterhuls, D. M. (1999). Cotton photosynthesis and carbon partitioning in responses to floral bud loss due to insect damage. *Crop Sci.* 30: pp: 1347-1351.
- Jones, M. A.; Wells R. and Guthrie, D. S. (1996a). Cotton response to seasonal patterns of flower removal: I. yield and fibre quality. *Crop Sci.* 39: pp: 633-638.
- Jones, M. A.; Wells, R. and Guthrie D. S. (1996b). Cotton response to seasonal patterns of flower removal: II. Growth and dry matter allocation. *Crop Sci.* 36: pp: 639-645.
- Jones, M. A.; and Wells, R. (1997). Field yield and quality of cotton grown at two divergent population densities. *Crop Sci.* 37: pp: 1190-1195.
- Kennedy, C.W.; Smith C. and J. E. Jones. (1991). Chemical efficacy of early square removal and subsequent productivity super okra – leaf cotton. *Crop Sci.* 31: 791-796.
- Mauney, J. R. (1986). Vegetative growth and development of fruiting sites. In: J. R. Mauney and J. M. Stewart (eds.) *cotton physiology*. The cotton foundation, Memphis, TN.
- Peng, S. and Krieg, D. R. (1991). Single leaf and canopy photosynthesis response to plant age in cotton. *Agron. J.* 83: pp: 704-708.
- Pettigrew, W. T. Heitholt; J. J. and W. R. Meredith. (1992). Early season floral bud removal and cotton growth, yield, and fibre quality. *Agron. J.* 84: pp: 209-214.
- Randy wells. (2001). Leaf pigment and canopy photosynthetic response to early flower removal in cotton. *Crop Science.* 41: pp: 1522-1528.
- Sadras, V. O. (1995). Compensatory growth in cotton after loss of reproductive organs. *Field Crops Res.* 40, 1-18.
- Smith, C. W. and Coyle, G. G. (1997). Association of fibre quality parameters and within- boll yield components in upland cotton. *Crop Sci.* 97: pp: 1775-1779.
- Snipes, C. E. and Baskin, C. C. (1994). Influence of early defoliation in cotton yield, seed quality, and fibre properties. *Field Crops Res.* 37: pp: 137-143.

Received	2010/11/15	
Accepted for Publ.	2011/06/14	