

(2) (1)

2010/2009

ACSAD

ICARDA

2988.75 3648.36) 357 1327 1311 (/ 2884.80

:

30621 . . (2) (1)

Study of the Performance of Some Promising Genotypes of Durum Wheat Under Rainfed Condition

M. Shaherli⁽¹⁾ and M. Khaete

ABSTRACT

This research was conducted in Abo Jarash farm (College of Agriculture, Damascus University) in 2009/2010. Fifteen strains of durum wheat contrived by ACSAD and ICARDA were studied, the productivity and its main components were compared with four certified varieties of durum wheat in Syria. The experiment was designed in complete randomized block design with three replicants. The results showed the presence of significant differences between the strains in most of the studied traits, and the strains derived from ACSAD were featured in the performance under the experiment condition. And those strains were characterized by the early heading, and maturity comparing with the wildly cultivated varieties in Syria and some of them were super or in grain production as Acsad 1311, Acsad 1327, Acsad 357(3648.36, 2988.75, 2884.80 kg/ha). The results confirmed the importance of the some components of productivity, weight of thousand grain, number of spikes, And plant length which were correlated positively with the productivity in unit area.

Key Words: Wheat, Genotypes, Evaluation.

^{(1),(2)}Dept. Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Damascus

: -1
(wheat)

255 100
)
(USDA, / 244 2940 658 2010/2009
20.428 / 2070 9867.16
2341 3363132 1384827
623737 /
) / 2458 1820378
(2008)

.(Semenov *et al.*, 2009)

)
(
(Reddy *et al.*, 2004)

.(Nachit, 1992)

(Sarraf *et al.*, 1984)

(Frederick and Bauer, 2002)

()

(1995)

: (Pawer *et al.*, 1990)

.(Ehdaie and Waines,1989)

: -2

-3

: 1-3

(GCSAR)

(ICARDA)

.(1) (ACSAD)

: 2-3

°33.30 (°36.18)

743

(1)

-	1	1
-	9	2
-	5	3
-	7	4
	83286	5
	83942	6
	84913	7
	85888	8
	65	9
	357	10
	1311	11
	1275	12
	1245	13
	1345	14
	1347	15
	1327	16
	1317	17
	1107	18
	1315	19

: 3-3

2009/12/15

) (2)
 (240 5 25
 2 3.5
 50

.(3 2)

(2)

%	%	³ /	³ /				
42.16	56.62	2.67	1.157		23.62	32.5	43.28

(3)

/	/	%	%	%	%	5 : 1 EC	PH
315	28.6	0.18	17.8	50.12	2.30	/	2.5 : 1
						0.28	8.6

(%50 / 9)

(%46 / 4)

(4)

(4)

2010 /2009

()	2010-2009		
	(°)		
46.6	9.03	19.17	
46.7	7.19	19.28	
74.5	6.03	15.68	
16.2	7.67	17.36	
15	9.74	20.68	
0.5	15.23	25.97	
0	15.39	30.1	
199.5	10.04	21.18	

: **4-3**
 1-4-3
 2-4-3
 .() 3-4-3
 .() 4-4-3
 . 5-4-3
 .() 6-4-3
 .() 7-4-3
 .(/) 8-4-3
 : **5-3**

(RCBD)
 .Spss17
 (CV) (L.S.D5%)
 (r)

: **-4**

: **1-4**

(5)
 119.33 101.67
 %5
 121.33 124.33 135.67) 85888 84913 1311 5
 (120.67
 . (102.33 101.67) 83286 1275

.(Cattivelli *et al.*, 2002)

: **2-4**

(5)
 3.18 5.33 2.33

%5

(5.33) 85888
 4.33) 1327 1311 83942
 1107 84913 65 1275 9
 (2.33) 1315

(Nachit and Jarrah, 1986)

(Surendra *et al.*, 1985)

(5)

()	()				
61.99	6.29	3.67	105.33	1	1
61.41	5.28	2.33	112.67	9	2
58.52	5.47	2.67	135.33	5	3
70.89	9.38	3.33	117.67	7	4
58.20	5.26	2.67	102.33	83286	5
73.48	9.64	4.33	117.33	83942	6
59.97	6.32	2.33	121.33	84913	7
71.42	8.63	5.33	120.67	85888	8
67.58	7.61	2.33	113.33	65	9
60.82	5.84	2.67	111.67	357	10
54.79	5.48	2.33	101.67	1275	11
71.47	8.31	4.33	124.33	1311	12
65.55	6.61	3.33	112.33	1245	13
60.84	6.20	3.33	119.33	1345	14
62.97	5.72	2.67	113.67	1347	15
65.60	7.69	4.33	106.33	1327	16
65.32	7.32	3.67	117.67	1317	17
54.69	5.51	2.33	106.67	1107	18
64.86	5.93	2.33	109.67	1315	19
63.70	6.76	3.18	114.18		
1.33	0.42	0.8	2.13	LSD 5%	
12.11	13.14	17.52	12.4	CV	

:() 3-4

9.64 5.26
(5) 6.67

%5

(9.64) 83942

(8.31 8.63 9.38)1311 85888 7

5 9 83286

5.48 5.47 5.28 5.26)1315 1347 1107 1275
(5.93 5.72 5.51

3 1

.(1996)

:() 4-4

54.79

(5) 63.7 74.48

%5

85888 1311 83942
(70.89 71.42 71.43 73.48) 7

54.69) 5 83286 1107
(58.52 58.20

: 5-4

(6)

55.35 120.67 19.33

%5

1311 85888
(111.67 117.33 120.67) 83942

23.67) 9 1275 5
(27.33 24.67

(Simane *et al.*, 1993)

(Nachit, 1992)

(6)

(/)	()	()			
2231.61	45.37	1.47	32.33	1	1
2862.94	51.6	1.41	27.33	9	2
2256.37	47.95	1.13	23.67	5	3
2022.78	44.01	2.74	62.33	7	4
2146.94	47.13	0.97	20.67	83286	5
1995.95	43.9	1.39	31.67	84913	6
2472.64	49.07	5.57	111.67	83942	7
1024.21	38.51	4.65	120.67	85888	8
2492.12	49.36	2.55	51.67	65	9
2884.80	50.93	1.66	32.67	357	10
3648.36	57.67	6.86	117.33	1311	11
786.89	29.34	2.34	79.67	1245	12
884.58	33.85	1.47	43.33	1345	13
1798.62	41.65	2.44	58.67	1347	14
2988.75	51.19	3.95	75.33	1327	15
1018.75	38.79	3.19	82.33	1317	16
957.38	34.4	0.69	19.33	1107	17
1187.62	39.72	1.44	36.33	1315	18
986.44	38.32	0.96	24.67	1275	19
1928.83	43.83	2.47	55.35		
55.59	1.78	0.03	1.07		LSD 5%
11.16	12.17	10.01	14.04		CV

:() 6-4

(6) 2.47 6.86 0.69
 %5
 1311
 3.95 4.65 5.57 6.86)1317 1327 85888 83942
 (3.19
 0.69) 84913 5 83286 1275 1107
 (1.39 1.13 0.97 0.96
 (Knott and Talukdar, 1971)

:() 7-4

(6)
 34.83 57.67 29.34
 %5
 9 1327 1311
 (50.93 51.60 51.19 57.67) 357
 1275 1107 1345
 (39.72 38.79 38.32 34.40 33.85) 1315 1317

(Mohiuddin and Croy, 1980)

(Duwayri and Nachit, 1989)

22

(Deshmukh *et al.*, 1990)

(Misra *et al.*, 1994)

(Maich *et al.*, 2006)

/ 786.89 ()
 / 1928.83 / 3648.36
 %5 (6)
 1311
 2884.80 2988.75 3648.36) 65 9 357 1327
 (/ 2492.12 2862.94
 2256.37 2472.64) 83286 1 5 83942
 (/ 2146.94 2231.61
 1107 1275 1345
 1018.75 957.38 986.44 884.58) 1315 85888 1317
 (/ 1187.62 1024.21

(Genc *et al.*, 1988)

/ 6510 - 5870

() ()

Genetic variations

Phenotypic variations

.(7)

(r = 0.29, 0.43, 0.96)

(r = 0.15, 0.12, 0.16)

.(r=0.27)

(7)

T8	T7	T6	T5	T4	T3	T2	T1	
0.15	0.16	0.36**	0.35*	0.34**	0.29*	0.20		T1
0.12	0.09	0.71**	0.76**	0.61**	0.63**			T2
0.27	0.21	0.81**	0.82**	0.90**				T3
0.29**	0.27*	0.86**	0.86**					T4
0.16	0.11	0.94**						T5
0.43**	0.41**							T6
0.96**								T7
								T8
			T5					T1
.()			T6					T2
		.()	T7				.()	T3
		.(/)	T8				.()	T4

(Siahpoosh, *et al.*, 2003)

:

:

: -6

-

83286 1275

-

85888

-

83942

-

9 357 1327 1311
(/ 2862.94 2884.80 2988.75 3648.36)

-

: -7

65 357 1327 1311

-

-

9

REFERENCES

- 281 . 461 .(1995) .
 .(1992) .
 .(2008) .
 .(2008) .
 .(1996) .
- Cattivell L.; Baldi P.; Crosatti C.; Grossi M.; Vale G.; Stanca A. M. (2002)- Genetic bases of barley physiological response to stressful conditions. In: Barley Science: Recent advances from molecular biology to agronomy of yield and quality, Slafer, G. A., J. L. Molina-Cano, R. Savin, J.L. Araus, and I. Romagosa. Food Products press, an Imprint of the Haworth Press, Inc. New York. pp. 307-360.
- Deshmukh. P. W., S. B. Atale, P. W. Korgade, and D. G. Vitkare. (1990). Evaluation of some yield contributing characters under rainfed irrigated condition in durum wheat. *Annals of Plant Physiological*. 4(1): 80-85.
- Duwayri, M., and M. M. Nachit. (1989). Utilization of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. durum). Landraces to improve yield and yield stability in dry areas. *Wheat Information Service*. 69: 5-8.
- Ehdaie, B.; and Waines, J. G. (1989). Genetic variation, heritability and path-analysis in landraces of bread wheat from southwestern Iran. *Euphytica*. 41: 183-190.
- Frederick, J. R.; and P. J. Bauer. (2002). Physiological and numerical components of wheat yield. in: *Wheat Ecology and Physiology of Yield*.
- Genc, I.; Y. Kirtok.; A. C. Ulger; and T. Yagbasanlar. (1988). Screening High - Yielding Lines of Durum Wheat for the Mediterranean Environments. *Rachis* 18 (1/2):20-22.
- Knott, D. R., and B Talukdar. (1971). Increasing seed weight in wheat and its effect on yield, yield components and quality. *Crops Sci*. 11:280-283.
- Maich, R., D. Ortega, A. Masgrau and G. Manera. (2006). Genetic achievements under rainfed conditions. In: H.T Buck *et al.* (eds), wheat production in stressed environments. 321-329.
- Misra, S. C.; Rao, V. S.; Dixit, R. N; Surve, V. D. and Patil, V. P. (1994). Genetic control of yield and its components in bread wheat *Indian Journal of Genelics*. 54: 77 82.
- Mohiudden, S. H. and L. I. Croy. (1980). Flag leaf and penduncle area duration in relation to winter wheat grain yield. *Agron.J*. 72:299-301.
- Nachit, M. M. (1992). Durum wheat Breeding for Mediterranean dry land of North Africa and West Asia. Paper presented at Durum Whew Workshop. Discussion on Durum Wheat. Challenges and opportunity. CYMMYT, Ciudad Obregon (Mexico), 23 25 March. 14- 27.

-
- Nachit, M. M. (1992a). Durum wheat breeding for Mediterranean dryland of North Africa and West Asia. Pages 14-27 in Discussion on Durum wheat: challenges and Opportunity. Durum Wheat Workshop, CIMMYT, Ciudad Obregon, Mexico. 23-25 March.
- Nachit, M. M., and Jarrah. (1986). Association of some morphological characters to grain yield in durum wheat under Mediterranean dryland condition. *Rachis*,5(2):33-34.
- Pawer, I. S.; S. R. Paroda.; and S. Singh. (1990). A study of correlation and path analysis in spring wheat. Wheat information service. Plant breeding abstracts, p: 060-0401.
- Reddy, A. R., Chaitanya, K. V., Vivekanandan, M. (2004). Drought – induced responses of photosynthesis and antioxidant metabolism in higher plants. *J. Plant Physiol.*, (161): 1189-1202.
- Sarrafi, A., R. Ecochard, and M. Dupuy. (1984). Genetic gain for some agronomical and physiological characters in F5 lines from crosses between tetraploid wheats. *Vortraege fuer pflanzenzuechtung (germany)*.7:112-119.
- Semenov M. A; Martreb P. C.; Jamieson P. D. (2009). Quantifying effects of simple wheat traits on yield in water-limited environments using a modeling approach *Agricultural and forest Meteorology*, 149(6-7), 1095-1104.
- Siahpoosh, M. S.; Y. Emam.; and A. Saidi. (2003). Genotypic variation, heritability, genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients of grain yield, its components and some morpho-physiological characters in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Iranian Journal of Crop Sciences* Vol. 5, No. 2 .474.
- Simane, B., P. C. Struik, M. M. Nachit, and J. M. Peacock. (1993). Ontogenetic analysis of yield components and yield stability of durum wheat in water-limited environment. *Euphytica* 71: 211-219.
- Surendra, S., R. Matzen, and T. T. Predersen. (1985). The effect of seeds rates and sowing methods on the growth, yield and yield components of spring wheat *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 1-30 (1): 55-58.
- World Agricultural production. May. (2009). USDA.

Received	2010/11/23	
Accepted for Publ.	2011/02/22	