انتشار الآفات حول الذروية في الأسنان المعالجة لبياً عند السكان السوريين البالغين وعلاقته بنوعية حشوة القناة الجذرية

هشام العفيفأ

الملخص

خلفية البحث وهدفه: تحديد انتشار الآفات حول الذروية في الأسنان المعالجة لبياً عند المرضى السوريين البالغين، وتقييم أثر جودة الحشو القنوي في حالة الأنسجة حول الذروية.

مواد البحث وطرائقه: قَيِّمَتُ حالة الأنسجة حول الذروية وجودة الحشو القنوى ل 784 سناً معالجة لبياً لمرضى سوريين بالغين قصدوا كلية طب الأسنان بجامعة دمشق بهدف المعالجة باستخدام الأشعة البانورامية أجرى التحليل الإحصائي بواسطة square – chi الإحصائي

النتائج: كان انتشار الآفات حول الذروية في الأسنان المعالجة لبياً 33.4%. قيمت \$18.5 من المعالجات المقدمة كمعالجات جيدة. كانت نسبة الأسنان مع أنسجة حول ذروية طبيعية للحالات ذات المعالجة القنوية الجيدة %95.2، الذي كان بفارق دال إحصائياً عند مقارنته بالأسنان ذات المعالجة القنوية غير الكافية (66.6%). كانت النسبة العليا لنوعية المعالجة القنوية غير الكافية في الأرحاء والثنايا السفلية.

الاستنتاج: تشير نتائجنا إلى نسبة انتشار عالية عند السكان السوريين لالتهابات الأنسجة حول الذروية مترافقة مع المعالجة اللبية السيئة المقدمة

كلمات مفتاحية التهابات الأنسجة حول الذروية، وبائيات، نوعية المعالجة اللبية.

أستاذ مساعد - قسم مداواة الأسنان - كلية طب الأسنان - جامعة دمشق

Prevalence of Periapical Lesions Associated With Endodontically Treated Teeth of an Adult Syrian Subpopulation, and its Relation to the Quality of Root Canal Fillings

Hisham Al afif

Abstract

Background & Objective: Purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of apical periodontitis in endodontically-- treated teeth in adult Syrian patients and to evaluate the influence of root canal fillings quality on the periapical status of these teeth.

Methods & Materials: Periapical status and root canal fillings quality of 784 endodontically –treated teeth of Syrian adult patients who attended Damascus dental school were evaluated using panoramic radiographs. Chi-square test was used for statistical analysis.

Results: Overall, the prevalence of apical periodontitis (AP) in endodontically treated teeth was 33.4%. Only 18.5 % of teeth had endodontic treatments rated as adequate. Number of teeth with healthy periapex for cases with adequate endodontic treatment was 95.2%, which was significantly high when compared to teeth with inadequate treatment (66.6%). The highest percentage of inadequate root filling was found in the molar teeth and Mandibular incisors.

Conclusion: Our results indicate high prevalence of AP in Syrian subpopulation associated with inadequate root canal filling.

Keywords: apical periodontitis, periapical status, quality of endodontic treatment, epidemiology.

^{*} Instructor Dep. of endodontics, faculty of dentistry Damascus University.

Introduction:

The aim of root canal treatment is to preserve or to restore the health of the periapical tissues by cleaning and shaping the root canal system and sealing it in 3 dimensions to prevent microorganism ingress to the tooth.(Ng et.al, 2008).

High success rates of more than 90% were obtained from controlled clinical studies performedby endodontic specialists under good conditions (Friedman, 1998). But these rates are substantially low in general practice (Jiménez-Pinzón, et.al, 2004,

Sunayet. al, 2007). It's generally accepted that outcome of root canal treatment is positively correlated with the technical quality of root canal filling. (siögren et al 1990, Smith et.al, 1993,Sjögrenet.al, 1997). According to the consensus report of the European Society of Endodontology (2006), appropriate RCT includes a radiographical control showing a radiographically dense filling with its end located between 0 and 2 mm from the apex (European Society of Endodontology, 2006).

Epidemiological studies carried out in many countries on different population groups (Table1) showed that endodontic treatments performed by dentists are not necessarily the best treatment can be afforded to these patients, and those treatments may become the cause of spreading a periradicular disease instead of maintaining healthy periapical tissues, because of a great correlation between the quality of root canal treatment and the health of periradicular tissues.

The **purpose** of this study is to assess the prevalence of apical periodontitis in root canal—treated teeth of adult Syrian subpopulation and to evaluate the influence of root canal fillings quality in the periradicular status of these teeth. This information is collected for the first time in this country, and such data is necessary to assess the effectiveness and quality of dental services introduced by Syrian dentists.

Table 1:Summary of some previous studies show the occurrence of root canal treatments and prevalence of apical periodontitis.

Authors	Country	Total no. Of	Total no. of	Total no. of
examined teeth	teeth with RCT	(%) teeth w	rith PP	

Alomariet.al, , 2011 Jordan7390424(5.7%)305(71.9%)

1110111111111111111, , 2011 001011	1,000.= .(0.,,0,	,000 (, 1., , 0)					
Chen et.al, , 2007	USA	3	3533		169(4.8%) 60(369	%)	
Dugaset.al,, 2003	Canada	16	148	4	11(2.5%)	96(23	(%)
Frisk et.al,, 2008	Sweden	13	2433	1	056(8.5%)	260(24	1.6%)
Jimenez-Pinson et.al,,20043	S pain	4453	(93(2%)	60(65%)		
Loftus et.al,,2005 Ireland	7427 152(2%)) (38(25%)				
Mukhaimer R et.al,, 2011	Palestine	:	6482		855(13.2%))	509(
59.5%)							
Peters et.al,,2011Netherland	d 4594224(4.99	%)	54(24%	(a)			
Sunayet.al,,2007Turkey	8731 449(5.19	%)	240(53%)			
Tavares et.al,,2009 France	- 1035	34	4(33%)				
Tsuneishiet.al2005 Japan	16232	3320(21%)		1329(40%	5)		

Materials and methods

Panoramic x-ray were taken for randomly selected patients attended the faculty of dentistry, Damascus University for the first time (19 years old and older) who did not receive any dental treatment for more than one year. Without knowing the purpose of this study expert radiologist excluded low quality x-rays especially with distortion in anterior teeth region. The final sample consists of 200 panoramic x-rays. The total number of teeth being examined (except the third molars and extracted teeth) were 5331, 784 of them (14.7%) received endodontic treatment (tooth with a radioopaque material in the pulp chamber or root

canals). The radiographs were examined by using an x-ray viewer with double magnification and evaluated independently by two endodontist who both had more than 5 years of clinical experience. When disagreement occurred, a third observer (author) an endodontist had 25 years of clinical experience made the decision ..

The quality of root canal fillings was assessed as adequate or inadequate according to Tronstadet.al, (2000) criteria as following:

Adequate: all canals obturated with dense fillings ending about 2 mm shorter than the radiographic apex. Inadequate: Root canal fillings end more than 2 mm shorter than the radiographic apex or grossly

overfilled. Root canal fillings with voids, unfilled canals, and/or poor condensation.

Apical status was assessed by the Periapical Index (PAI) proposed by Ørstavik et al ,1986. Who scored the apical area of the radiographic images as follows:

- 1. Normal periapical structures
- 2. Small changes in bone structure
- 3. Changes in the bone structure with little mineral loss
- 4. Periodontitis with well-defined radiolucent area
- 5. Severe periodontitis with exacerbating features

A healthy teeth corresponded to PAI 1 or PAI 2, whereas diseased teeth were scored as PAI 3, PAI 4, and PAI 5. The worst score of all canals was taken to represent the PAI score for multicanal teeth.

SPSS software was used for statistical analysis (SPSSInc., Chicago, IL). Differences between the groups were examined using the chi-square test.

Results:

Out of the 784 root canal—treated teeth examined in this study, 361 (46 %) were men and 423 (54%) women. Mandibular molars were the most frequently treated teeth (27.4%) followed by maxillary molars (20. %) and maxillary premolars (19.5%). Mandibular anterior teeth had the least frequency (3.3%). The endodontic treatment was rated as adequate in 145 (18.5%) cases, and as inadequate in 639 (81.5%) cases.

Although molars were considered the most susceptible teeth for root canal treatment, the endodontic treatment quality was inadequate in 92.6% of lower molars, 86.6% of upper molars (table 2). The quality of molar endodontic treatment in general practice in Syria is poor (P < .001).

The most common errors seen in root-filled canals were the presence of completely empty canals (34.1%), under-fillings (32.7%), presence of voids and poor condensation of root canal filling material (28.2%), and overfillings in about 5%.

The periapical status of all endodontically treated teeth was assessed on the basis of the PAI scoring system. 95.2% of adequately treated Teeth, and 60.1% of inadequately treated teeth had normal periapex (PAI 1, PAI 2). Apical periodontitis (PAI 2) was diagnosed in 262 (33.4%) endodontically treated teeth, 7 cases had adequate root canal fillings, and 255 teeth had inadequate root canal fillings. Severe Periodontitis with Exacerbating Features (PAI 5) were scored in 120 cases all with inadequate root canal treatment (table 3). Statistically, number of teeth with healthy periapex for cases with adequate endodontic treatment was highly significant when compared to teeth with inadequate treatment (P < .001).

TABLE 2.Distribution of root canal—treated teeth according to the tooth group and quality of root canal filling.

(n = 784)		S	
Adequate	inadequate	Total	
endodontic treatment endo	odontic treatment		
	n=145(18,5%)	n= 639(81.5%)	n= 784
Manillana antanian ta sth	29/20 20/)	001/20 00/)	126
Maxillary anterior teeth	38(30.2%)	88 (69.8%)	126
Mandibular anterior teeth	2(7.7%)	24(92.3%)	26
Maxillary premolars	39(25.5%)	114(74,5%)	153
Mandibular premolar	29(27.1%)	78(72.9%)	107
Maxillary molars	21(13.4%)	136(86.6%)	157
Mandibular molars	16(7.4%)	199(92.6%)	215
Total	145(100%)	639(100%)	784

TABLE 3.Periradicular status of root canal-treated teeth as related to the quality of the endodontic

			treatment.
Periapical	adequate	inadequate	Total
Status	treatment	treatment	(n=784)
PAI 1	127(87.6%)	274(42.9%)	401(51.1%)
PAI 2	11(7.6%)	110(17.2%)	121(15.4%)
PAI 3	7(4.8%)	96(15%)	103(13.1%)
PAI 4	0(0%)	39(6.1%)	39(5%)
PAI 5	0(0%)	120(18.8%)	120(15.3%)

Discussion

One of the most important disadvantages of epidemiological study is their inability to explain the static nature of periapical lesion associated with endodontically treated teeth. Lesions x- ray snap shot can't determine whether the lesion in a chronic or healing process, orthe time passed is not enough to let the periapical disease develops. Good reliability of epidemiological research was shown by Petersson et al,1991, who found that the number of healed lesions equals the number of developed lesions after 10 years of follow up. According to that so many epidemiological studies started. However, Kirkevang et al. (2006) do not support thisconclusion as they found that the number of healed teeth is higher than that of developed lesions after 6 years follow up.

Approximately all epidemiological studies totally depends on radiographic evaluation of the periradicular tissue healthiness, quality of root canal filling and even coronal restoration. Some studies used panoramic x-rays; others used periapical x-rays, statistically, there were no significant differences in detecting the periapical lesions however the approach was(Muhammed& Manson-Ring 1982, Boucher et.al., 2002).

epidemiological studies are still valuable and give us good information about realistic endodontics in general practice with all limitations mentioned and revised by Wuet.al, 2009.

this study is an epidemiological study of Syrian subpopulation attended dental clinics of Damascus university for the first time. patients came from different regions of Damascus city and its province. Those patients previously received their treatment in private dental clinics. Besides patients last treatment should be received before at least one year, so that if they had received endodontic treatment any periapical lesions probably will be healed, According to (Orstavik D., 1996) 89% of periapical lesions heal in the first year of the treatment. Another condition was availability of good panoramic radiographs without distortion. Sample of this study consisted of 54% females and 46% males. Similar epidemiological studies reported that gender had no effect on the presence of apical periodontitis (Kirkevang et al. 2001, Jimenez-Pinzon et al. 2004).

About 15% of examined teeth received endodontic treatment. That indicates the importance of endodontic therapy in daily general dental practice (about 4 teeth per patient) especially if we take in a consideration that so many extracted teeth may be extracted due to pulp or periapical disease. Our results indicate that the quality of endodontic treatment in Syria was inadequate in 18.5% ofcases. Only in one study in Senegal the level of the endodontic treatment was

worse(Toureet.al.2008). As in other studies, the molar teeth were rated as the most teeth being treated andlower anterior teeth werethe least.But the interested finding hereis thatboth treatment qualities were bad. In general, whatever the treated tooth was, the practitioner did not show any desire in offering a good treatment. That was obvious because in 34.1% of inadequately treated teeth the errorswere untreatedcanal (the presence of only radioopaque material in the pulp champer , or treating the wide canals and leaving the narrow ones). We can't consider this treatment as pulpotomy because it's not included in any clinical program in dental schools in Syria and not recommended at all in dental general practice. Those cases might be treated by pulp mummification using arsenic or non arsenic devitalisation materials that is commonly known and still in use.

The prevalence rate of periapical pathosis (PAI in endodontically treated teeth for Syrian patients involved in this study was 33.4%. It's close to other studies and sometimes lower (Segura-Egeaet.al,2004) . In this study we used the cale described by Ørstavik et al, 1986, who classified the status of periapical tissue in 5 scores. But there is adisagreement about second score (which is small changes in bone structure).Some researchers consider pathological(kayahanet.al, 2008), others as a healthy status (Toureet.al, 2008, Tavares et.al, 2009), that's why there might be an elevation or depressionin prevalence rate in different studies. In this study we considered the second score as a healthy status which makes the rate low, but if we cut-off at 1 for evaluating periapical health the rate will be 48.8%. High prevalence of apical periodontitis is mostly related to the high prevalence of teeth with inadequate endodontic treatment. Our results confirm those of other studieswhich indicate a strong correlation between technical quality and periapical health whatever the geographic locations were, table 1.

In Syria, there is an economical point of view should be put in mind because most of afforded root canal treatments (81.5 %) need retreatment in some way before placement of new restorations or symptoms of potential disease appear.

In Conclusion: This study has indicated a high prevalence of AP within a population in Syria associated with endodontically treated teeth. There was a close correlation between the quality of root filling and the prevalence of AP.The findings of the present study indicate that considerable effort should be spent by dental schools (dental curriculum) and dental societies to improve the level of endodontic treatment, such improvement in root canal treatment quality isrequired in general dental practice to promote periradicular health.

Reference

Al-Omari MA, Hazaa A, Haddad F. (2011). Frequency and distribution of root filled teeth and apical periodontitis in a Jordanian subpopulation. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod;111:59–65.

Boucher, Y., Matossian, L., Rilliard, F., Machtou, P., (2002).Radiographic evaluation of the prevalence and technical quality of root canal treatment in a French subpopulation. International Endodontic Journal 35, 229–238.

Chen C, Hasselgren G, Serman N, Elkind MSV, Desvarieux M, Engebretson SP. (2007). Prevalence and quality of endodontic treatment in the northern Manhattan elderly. J Endod;33:230–4.

Dugas NN, Lawrence HP, Teplitsky PE, Pharoah MJ, Friedman S. (2003). Periapical health and treatment quality assessment of root-filled teeth in two Canadian populations. Int Endod J;36:181–92.

European Society of Endodontology (2006). Quality guidelines for endodontic treatment: consensus report of the European Society of Endodontology. International Endodontic Journal 39, 921–30.

Friedman S. (1998). Treatment outcome and prognosis of endodontic therapy. In: Ørstavik D, Pitt Ford TR, eds. Essential endodontology: prevention and treatment of apical periodontitis. Oxford: Blackwell Science; pp. 367–401

Frisk, F., Hugoson, A., Hakeberg, M. (2008). Technical quality of root fillings and periapical status in root filled teeth in jonko ping, Sweden. International Endodontic Journal 41 (11), 958–968.

Jiménez-Pinzón A, Segura-Egea JJ, Poyato-FerreraM, Velasco-Ortega E, Ríos-Santos JV. (2004). Prevalence of apical periodontitis and frequency of root-filled teeth in an adult Spanish population. Inter Endod J; 37: 167–73. Kayahan m, malkondu Ö, canpolat c. (2008). Periapical health related to the type of coronal restorations and quality of root canal fillings in a Turkish subpopulation. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod; 105:e58-e62.

Kirkevang LL, Horsted-Bindslev P, Orstavik D, Wenzel A. (2001). A comparison of the quality of root canal treatment in two Danish subpopulations examined 1974-75 and 1997-98. IntEndod J;34:607–12.

Kirkevang LL, Vaeth M, Horsted-Bindslev P, Wenzel A. (2006). Longitudinal study of periapical and endodontic status in a Danish population. Inter Endod J; 39: 100–7.

Loftus, J.J., Keating, A.P., McCartan, B.E. (2005). Periapical status and quality of endodontic treatment in an adult Irish population. International Endodontic Journal 38, 81–86.

Muhammed AH, Manson-Hing LR.(1982). A comparison of panoramic and intraoral radiographic surveys in evaluating a dental clinic population. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol; 54: 108–17.

MukhaimerR, Hussein E, Orafi I.(2012). Prevalence of apical periodontitis and quality of root canal treatment in an adult Palestinian sub-population. Saudi Dental J; article in press.

Ng YL, Mann V, Rahbaran S, Lewsey J, Gulabivala K. (2008). Outcome of primary root canal treatment: systematic review of the literature—part 2. Influence of clinical factors.IntEndod J;41:6–31.

Orstavik, D. (1996). Time-course and risk analyses of the development and healing of chronic apical periodontitis in man. International Endodontic Journal 29, 150–155.

Ørstavik D, Kerekes K, Eriksen HM. (1986). The periapical index: a scoring system for radiographic assessment of apical periodontitis. Endodontics and Dental Traumatology 2, 20–34.s

Peters LB, Lindeboom JA, Elst ME, Wesselink PR. (2011). Prevalence of apical periodontitis relative to endodontic treatment in an adult Dutch population: a repeated crosssectional study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod;111:523–8.

Petersson K, Hakansson R, Hakansson J, Olsson B, Wennberg A. (1991). Follow-up study of endodontic status in an adult Swedish population. Endod Dent Traumatol;7:221-5.

Segura-Egea JJ, Jime´nez-Pinzo´n A, Poyato-Ferrera M, Velasco-Ortega E, Rı´os-Santos JV. (2004). Periapical status and quality of root fillings and coronal restorations in an adult Spanish population. International Endodontic Journal, 37, 525–530.

sjÖgren U, Figdor D, Persson S, Sundqvist G. (1997). Influence of infection at the time of root filling on the outcome of endodontic treatment of teeth with apical periodontitis. International Endodontic Journal 30, 397–406.

sjÖgren U, Hägglund B, Sundqvist G, Wing K. (1990). Factors affecting the long-term results of endodontic treatment. Journal of Endodontics 16, 498–504.

Smith CS, Setchell DJ, Harty FJ. (1993). Factors influencing the success of conventional root canal therapy – a five-year retrospective study. International Endodontic Journal 26, 321–33.

Sunay H, Tanalp J, Dikbas I, Bayirli G. (2007). Cross-sectional evaluation of the periapical status and quality of root canal treatment in a selected population of urban Turkish adults. Inter Endod J; 40: 139–45.

TavaresP,BonteE,BoukpessiT,Siqueira J, Lasfargues J.(2009). Prevalence of apical periodontitis in contact canal-treated Teeth from an urban french population: influence of the Quality of root canal fillings and coronal restorations. J Endod; 35:810-813.

Toure B, Kane AW, Sarr M, Ngom CTH, Boucher Y. (2008). Prevalence and technical quality of root fillings in Dakar sengal. International Endodontic journal,41,41-49.

Tronstad L, Asbjornsen K, Doving L, Pedersen I, Eriksen HM. (2000). Influence of coronal restorations on the periapical health of endodontically treated teeth. Endod Dent Traumatol;16:218–21.

Tsuneishi M, Yamamoto T, Yamanaka R, et al. (2005). Radiographic evaluation of periapical status and prevalence of endodontic treatment in an adult Japanese population. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod;100:631–5.

Wu M-K, Shemesh H, Wesselink PR. (2009). Limitations of previously published systemic reviews evaluating the outcome of endodontic treatment.IntEndod J; 42:656–66.

تاريخ ورود البحث إلى مجلة جامعة دمشق 2014/1/28 تاريخ قبوله للنشر 2014/5/14.