


()

.



.

:

()

:

.

.

:

:

:

.

:

.1

.2

.3

:

:

.

:

(Amitai 1986)

()

(2000)

(Oliver & Bartly 2005)

(Christie & holzner 2006)

(2014)

()

: .1

: .2

: .3

.(1998)

: :

2003 /24/

:

/ /

" "

/ /

:

:(2007)

: .1

: .2

: .3

: .4

: :

:(GAO 2005)

AICPA

:(AICPA2002)

: .1

: .2

: .3

: .4

: .5

: .6

.7

: :

: :

:(Evans 2013)

:

:

:

:(Slemrod 1989: 157)

(Evans et al 2010)

:

- : •
- : •
- : •
- : •

:

:

:(Evans et al 2010) (Evans 2013)

: **.1**

: **.2**

	:	.3
	:	.4
	:	.5
	:	.6
	:	.7
:(Evans 2013) :	:	-1
	:	-2
	:	-1
	:	-2
	:	-3

()

: :

: :

.(2008)

:

: :

)

(2000

)

.(2011

: :

:(2005):

:

.1

: .2

: .3

: .4

: :

)

:(2000

: أ-

: ب-

: ج-

()

ث-

:

ج-

:

:

:

:

.1

:

)

.(2010

.2

:

.(2008)

3.

(2010):

أ-

ب-

ت-

ث-

ج-

ح-

خ-

د-

ذ-

()

:

:

:

:

0.05

0.60

:

$$N = \frac{S^2}{(\text{S.E.})^2}$$

: S

: S.E.

:

$$144 = \frac{(0.05)^2}{(0.60)^2}$$

(1)

(1)

%70.00	104	150	

150
70.00% 104

(2)

% 23.08	24	
% 46.15	48	
% 30.77	32	
% 100.0	104	

(3)

% 66.35	69	
% 14.42	15	
% 7.69	8	
% 11.54	12	
% 100.0	104	

: -2-2-3

(One Sample T-test)

:

:

:

()

: -

: -

: :

()

.0.60

Case Processing Summary

%	N	
100.0	104	
.0	0	
100.0	104	

Reliability Statistics

10	.954

0.954

0.954 = 10

.()

: :

(4)

1.9	6.7	6.7	66.3	18.3	2	7	7	69	19	
4.8	1	12.5	60.6	21.2	5	1	13	63	22	
3.8	3.8	1	82.7	8.7	4	4	1	86	9	
4.8	0	15.4	52.9	26.9	5	0	16	55	28	
1.9	5.8	13.5	69.2	9.6	2	6	14	72	10	
0	6.7	4.8	71.2	17.3	0	7	5	74	18	

0	6.7	4.8	78.8	9.6	0	7	5	82	10	
1.9	4.8	5.8	77.9	9.6	2	5	6	81	10	
0	5.8	5.8	77.9	10.6	0	6	6	81	11	
3.8	3.8	5.8	79.8	6.7	4	4	6	83	7	
2.3	4.5	7.6	71.7	13.8	24	47	79	746	144	

%71.7 %13.8 %85.5
 %6.8 %7.6

: T :

(One Sample T-test)

: 3

T (5)

T			
11.308	0.832	3.92	1
10.462	0.900	3.92	2
11.760	0.767	3.88	3
10.660	0.929	3.97	4
10.419	0.772	3.79	5
14.355	0.704	3.99	6
14.550	0.640	3.91	7
12.622	0.715	3.88	8
15.163	0.627	3.93	9
10.784	0.773	3.82	10
12.208	0.756	3.901	

()

3

3.901

0.756

12.208

T

T

0.05

%95

1.96

:

:

:

أ-

ب-

ت-

ث-

ج-

ح-

:

:

أ-

24

ب-

2003

ت-

ث-

ج-

	:	.1
. 489 2005	-	
	:	.2
	.	2014
2000	16	.3
		.186 -157
.556	1993	.4
		.5
	.	557 1998
		.6
	.	548 2008
-2010		.7
	.547	2011
		.8
	.	635 2007
		.9
. 559	2011-2010	

:

10. Amia Etzioni, *tax evasion and perceptions of tax fairness*, research note, the journal of applied behavioral science, 1986, volume 22, number 2, p 177-185.
11. American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) – *Tax Policy Concept Statement 2–Guiding Principles for Tax Simplification*, 2002.p 1-18.

12. Christie, e. and holzner, m. 2006, what explain tax evasion? An empirical assessment based on European data'. Working paper, the Vienna institute for international economic studies.
13. Evans, c, *Towards The Development of A Tax System Complexity Index*, Australian School of Business, Working Paper, 2013, 1-26.
14. *Government Accountability Office (GAO) – Understanding the Tax Reform Debate: Background, Criteria and Questions*, GAO-05-1009SP (9/05), 2005, 1-72.
15. Slemrod, J. (1989), “*Complexity, compliance costs, and tax evasion*,” in Roth, J. and Scholz, J. (eds), *Taxpayer Compliance: Social Science Perspectives*, University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, pp. 156–181.
16. Evans, C. and Tran-Nam, B. (2010), “*Managing tax system complexity: Building bridges through pre-filled tax returns*,” *Australian Tax Forum* 25(2): 245-274.
17. Oliver, t, and bartley, s, tax system complexity and compliance costs – some theoretical considerations, economic roundup winter, 2005, 1-12.