



---

(IFAC)

( ) (12)  
(520)

1983  
1988

(520)

- 1  
- 2  
- 3  
- 4

- 1

-2

-3

(520)

-4

:

:

:

- 1

- 2

(520)

- 3

---

:

:

(Kaplan, et. Al, 1992)

(Elsie, 1994)

(90)

(1995)

(%31)

(%64)

(Cohen , 2000)

(78)

(1998)

(%91)

(Boritz, 2001)

(2003)

(2003)

(%40)

(%21)

(2004)

:

)

(1992

(2004 )

(lian, 1993)

---

(1999 )  
(2002 )

)

: (2003

- 1

- 2

- 3

- 4

- 5

- 6

- 7

- 8

- 9

- 10

- 11

(520)

(56)

(1998 )



: - 3

(13)

(3)

%36,6	60	
%34,1	56	
%22	36	
%7,3	12	
%100	164	

(%36,6)

( 3 )

(%7,3) 129)

(3)

: - 4

(4)

(4)

-	-	5	
%22	36	10	- 5
%36,6	60	15	3 - 10
%31,7	52	20	- 15
%9,7	16		20
%100	164		

- (10)

20

(%9,7)

(15)



20 - 15 10 10 - 5  
 :

(%36,6) (5) - 5  
 (%34) : (%11)  
 (5)

%34,1	56	
%36,6	60	
%11	18	
%18,3	30	
%100	164	

: - 6  
 (6)

(6)

%13,4	22	
%86,6	142	
%100	164	

(%86,6)  
 (22)

---

:

:

:

-1

(7)

(7)

1.98	1.98	
0.66	1.67	
0.66	1.76	
0.63	1.43	
0.73	1.35	
0.68	1.32	
0.49	3.76	
0.55	3.73	
0.58	2.63	
65.0	2.59	
0.59	2.57	
0.61	2.49	
0.59	1.92	
0.59	1.89	
0.62	1.88	
0.62	1.81	
0.45	2.12	
0.36	2.53	

(7)

:

( )

(3,73)

(3,76)

(1,92) (1,89) (1,88) (1,32)  
(1,43) (1,76) (2,12) (1,81)  
(1,98) (1,35)  
(1,67)

(%62) (1,88)  
(8)  
(7)

(8)

0,67	2,44	
0,54	2,18	
0,76	2,24	
0,87	2,43	

(8)

(7)

(8)

(7)

1

(3)

:

-2

(9)

(9)

0,66	2,69		23,2	57,3	19,5	
0,55	3,16		8,5	67,1	24,4	
0,66	2,69		9,8	67,1	23,2	
0,74	2,76	2,4	40,2	43,9	13,4	
0,66	2,68	1,2	39	50	9,8	
0,52	2,60		42,7	56,1	1,2	

0,86	2,59	1,2	48,8	13,4	4,9	
0,66	2,57		51,2	15,9	6,1	
0,55	2,23		53,6	24,4	7,3	
0,56	2,44	2,4	53,7	37,9	6,1	
0,62	2,71	1,2	54,91,2	35,4	8,5	
0,74	2,61	7,3	61	41,5	4,9	
0,83	2,81	19,5	58,5	43,9	4,9	
0,64	2,14	26,8	54,9	40,2	9,8	
0,52	2,62	-	-	-	-	

(9)

%91,5

(3,16)

(%90,3)

(0,55)

(2,69)

(0,66)

(2,76) (2,69)

(0,74) (0,66) (0,55)

(2,71) (2,68)

(0,62)

(2,61)

(52 0)

.(0,86)

(2,59)

.(2,81)

(0,74)

(2,61)

(3)

(2,62)

(10)

(10)

%31,7	52	%20
%37,8	62	%40 - %20
%20,7	34	%60 - %40
%6,1	10	%80 - %60
%3,7	6	%100 - %80
%100	164	

(%37,8)

(10)

(%40)

(%20)

(%20)

(31,7)

(10)

:(520)

- 3

(11)

(520)

(11)

0,81	%74	3,11	
0,66	%68	3,05	

0,73	%52	2,81	
0,62	%79	3,18	
0,52	%53	2,40	
0,74	%56	2,18	
0,63	%51	2,54	

(11)

(0,62) (%79)

(%74) (3,11)  
(%68)

.(0,66)

(2,81)

(2,54) (2,18) (2,40)  
(0,63) (0,74) (0,52)

(%66)

(2,67)

(0,54)

---

:

:

-1

-2

(1)

(520)

-3

-4

(3) (2) (1)

-5

(520)



:

- 1

- 2

- - - 3

- 4

(520)

---

	:	- 1
		-
.1995		-
		-
.2003		-
		-
.1998 73		-
		-
.1991 2		-
		-
		.2004
.1988 54		-
		-
.1992 2		-
		-
.2003		-
:		-
.1992		-
		-
	.2004 (8) (2)	
	:	- 2

1- Cohen, Jeffery and krishnamoorthy, Ganesh, and wright Arnold, Evidence on the Effect of financial and Non financial Trends on Analytical Review; Auditing: A journal of practice and theory; Vol. 19; No. 1,200.

- Cohen, Jeffery, and Kida, Thomas; the impact of Analytical Review Results, Internal Control Reliability and Experience on Auditor's use of Analytical Review; *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 27, No. 2; 1989.
- Donnel, Ed, Evidence of An Association between Error – specific Experience and Audit performance during Analytical procedures, *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, Vol. 14; 2002.
- Elsie. C, Ameen; and Jerry, Strawser, investigating the use of Analytical procedures: An update and extension; *Auditing A journal of practice and theory*; No. 2; 1994.
- Green, Wendy; and Trotman, Ken. T; An examination of Different performance outcomes in An Analytical procedures Tasks, *Auditing: A journal of practice and theory*, Vol. No. 2; 2003.
- Heiman, Vickie. B, Auditors Assesments of the likeleehood of Error – explanation in Analytical Review; *the Accounting review*, 1990.
- Kaplan, Steven. E., and Moeckel, Cindy; and Williams, Joanne; Auditors Hypothesis plausibility Assessments in an Analytical Review settings; *Auditing : A Journal of practice and theory*, Vol. 11; No. 20; 1992.
- Lian, Gray; *the Audit Process: Principle, Practices, and Cases*, Chapman and Hall, London, England, 1993.

---

-

- /

"

.

.

.

.

: ( √ )

: - 1

□ □

(..... ) □ □

: - 2

□ □

... ) □ □

-: - 3

□ □

(... ) □ □

: - 4

20 15 □ 5 □

20 10 5 □

15 10 □

: - 5

□ □

□ □

. - 6

□ □

\_\_\_\_\_

: ( √ ) :

				- 1
				- 2
				- 3
				- 4
				- 5
				- 6
				- 7
				- 8
				- 9
				10
				-11
				- 12
				- 13
				- 14
				-15

( ) - 16

.....

: :

				- 1
				- 2
				-3

: :  
-: ( √ )  
: :  
:

				- 1
				- 2
				- 3
				- 4
				- 5
				- 6
				- 7
				- 8
				- 9
				- 10
				- 11
				- 12
				- 13
				- 14

.....( )  
.....  
.....

---

-:

(520)

:

-:

( √ )

(520)

-:

				- 1
				- 2
				- 3
				- 4
				- 5
				- 6
				- 7

---

.2008/1/15