

.....

:

.

.

.

:

:

.

.

:

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

.

-

-

.

.

:

:

.

:

.

1 1

:

39 2 24 7 2

.

.

.

:

.

.....

7 2 :

2 24 :

39 :

39 4 2 :

4 2

42 39 :

1

7 2 39 1

42

2

342 41 39 2 24 7 2

4

:

:

2

3

Kelsen, H., Organization and Procedure of the Security Council of the United Nation, Harvard law Review, Vol. 59, 1946, P.P.: 1091-1094.

4

23 1968

332 1995

135 1982

178-167 1985

244-241 1992

.39 2 24 7 4 2

5

:

6

7 2 39

:

7

:

5

6

Bailey, S.D., *The Procedure of the United Nation Security Council*, oxford, calrendon press, 1975, P.P.: 253-282.

1986

1976-1967

102

.1 1

.42 41 39 25 1 24

.55 7 1 2

:

:

.51 39 24

2

39

7

⁸39

:⁹

:

:

7 1 2 .39 1 24

8

9

1 31

3 1 1 .()

.298-265 1992

2 24

10

1987 - -
211 1990
194-190 1988

10
83-71
60-55 1993
.321-317

46

7 2

7 2

.1139

12

.13

":

7 2

."

:

:

.

11

.2 1 24

. 89 1966

. 256 1982

12

. 22 1951
206 1970

13

• •

:

.¹⁴1 1

7 2

7 2

7 2

- .¹⁵

-

.¹⁶

.¹⁷

42 41

39 25

7 2

14

-65 1994

. 294 1990

.⁷⁰

7 2 15

16

7 2

17

7 2

18

7 2

39

19

20

: 7 2

.7 2

18

19

7 2

20

7 2

49

..... " : :

... " :

.. "

7 2

.21

7 2

22

23

.24

81 1997
1994

..... : 21

140

.138 1973 33

22

42 41

.255

7 2

23

.196 1985
.122

..... : 24

7 2
25

7 2

2 24

26

2 24

:

27

:

28

:

25

26

87 1974
.94-83 1997

2 24

27

28

29 12 8 7 6

30

2 1

24

2 24

31

“[L]imitations on the powers of the Security Council are necessary because of the all too great ease with which any acutely controversial international situation can be represented as a latent threat to peace and security, even where it is really too remote genuinely to constitute one. Without these limitations, the functions of the Security Council could be used for purposes never originally intended.

Fitzmaurci, G, legal Consequences, for states of the continued presence of south Africa in Namibia notwithstanding security council Resolution 276, ICJ Reports 1971.

29

2 24

24 30

25 1 24

2 24

39

2 24 31

7 5 4 2 1 1

2 24 .

³²7 4 2 1 1

7 2

³³

.7 4 2 2

³⁴

25 2 24

" : 32

.114 1991

33

Bedjoui, M, The New World Order and the Security Council: Testing the legality of its acts, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1994, p.p.: 33-47.

34

.196 2003

2 24

35

25 2 24

25

2 24

2 24 35

.7 4 2 1 1

.2 24 39

36

.81 1998

2 24 .37

.38

39

39

:

39

:

:

2 24 37

:

:

“In discharging these duties the Security Council shall act in accordance with the purposes and principles of the United Nations...”

38

.122

.145-140 1994

:

39

" "

40

41

" : 39

39

"

.39

39

40

39

: 39

Freudenschuss, H., Article 39 of the UN charter revisited: Threats to the Peace and the recent practice of the UN Security Council, zeitschrift fuer oeffentliches Recht und Voellkerrecht, (46), 1993, P.P.: 5-11.

39

41

56

42

.1 1 1 25 7 2

.39

7 2

39

43

42

1998

39

.168

43

39

39

.7 4 2 1 1

57

39

44

39

45

46

39

44

"ahighly subjective interpretation" : " "

"This determination is conclusive and within the absolute discretion of the Security Council."

Kelsen, H., The Law of the United Nations, London, 1954, P.: 737.

Lapidoth, R., some Reflections on the Law and Practice Concerning the Imposition of sanctions by the security council, oxford, 1992, P.:114.

45

39

46

39

48

.42 41

.47

:

.39

.42 41

39

39

47

39

48

39

59

39

39

4 2

4 2

49

39

:

.42 41

50

39

39

.39

49

50

60

51

.1 24 7 4 2

39

52

39

51

.39

39 52

.42 41

61

53

54

55

56

39

53

39

1 25

54

39 1 25 2 24

39

55

56

Higgins, R., *The place of International law in the settlement of Disputes by the Security Council*,
American Journal of International Law, 64, 1970, p.p.: 1-5.

57

42 41

.584 2

39

4 2

.39

57

39

.4 2
39

.42 41

1990

58

334-330

.115

-60 2001

238-235

.63

63

• •

39

.⁵⁹4 2

4 2
39

.60

39

.61

-39

-

.62

1 1

39

59

.2 1 1
2 24 7 2 60

4 2

.4 2
39

4 2

2 24 7 2 1 1

39 61

.4 2

62

39 4 2

42 41 39

4 2
.2 24 39

64

				1	1	63	4	2		
				.4	2					
	.39						4	2		
2							39			
.64	1	1						4		
			39							
					.4	2				
	.65					4	2			
									66	
	1	24								
			39			.4	2			
							4	2	63	
									64	
7	4	2	3	1	1					
39				4	2	.39		4	2	65
				.39		4	2			
	39							4	2	66
		.42	41							

4 2
42 41 39

67

68

2

39

4
69

67

.92

Brownlie, I., The decisions of political organs of the United Nations and the rule of Law, Essays in honour of Wang Tieya, Dordrecht - Boston - London, 1994, P.P: 97-102.

4 2

68

69

39 70 4 2
.4 2

4 2
.71

72 4 2

4 2 70
39

2

.4 71

4 2

4 2 72

39

42 41

73 4 2

39

74

4 2

39

75

76

39 4 2

73

7 4 2 2 1 24

74

223 1999

178 1968 24

652 1976

75

76

68

39

42 41

.77

.4 2

39

: 78

:

:

.4 2

.112

77

163

.873

282

39 78

:

:

:

:

.

39 .79 1 24

80 .4 2

2 39

.4

4 2

.81

.82

39 79

24

.39 1

80

39 81

4 2

82

82 1966 23

70

1 1 24

42 41 39

.39 4 2 1

83

83

.42 41

42 41

39

71

39

39

⁸⁴39

.7 2 1 24 1 1

.4 2

39

84

“not question of legal interpretation, but a question of evidence, of proof, or of fact.”

Gowland – Debbas, v., *Security Council enforcement action and issues of state responsibility*,
International and comparative Law Quarterly, 43, 1994, P.:451.

:

39

39

85

.42 41

39

39

86

4 2

39

85

39

39

86

39

73

.....

87

88

89

.....

87

39

42 41

88

.....

89

Amz, J., *Der Begriff der Friedensbedrohung in Satzung und Praxis der Vereinten Nationen*, Berlin, 1975, P.P: 83-91.

39

90

39

1

.1 24 3 1

.91

1 1

1	1					42	41	39											90
									39		1		1						91
						42	41	39	1		1								

92

1 24

93

94

95

42 41

92

1 24 93

25

1 24

.62 55 2 12 1 11 94

() 55 95

234 1983

.127-124

76

96

: .⁹⁷4 2

.39

42 39

96

97

“(…) not to restore Law, but to restore peace, which is not necessarily identical with the Law”.

Kelsen, H., The Law of the United Nations, London, 1951, p.294 und p.16.:

.378

42 41

9839

99

100

:

:

:

101

:

.39

98

42 41

99

291

142

52

69 1999

.243

100

.42 41

39

.42 39

101

78

39 .42 41

.102

103

104

105

39

.72 1991

102

1 24 7 2

39

.42 39

103

.39

.237 176 1994

104

94 1994 117

Weber, H., Was unterscheidet die Vereinten. Nationen vom Voellkerbund?, Die autorisierte Friedenssicherung im Vergleich, in: Humanitaeres Voellkerrecht, Koeln, 1998 P. 92.

.42 41

.76-70 1992

105

106 42

42 41

107

108

41

39

42

106

:

51

42

107

..224 2000

:

42

39

107

	.1985	.	.1
	.1997	.	.2
	.	.	.3
	.	.	.4
	.1985	.	.5
.1999		.	.6
	.1974	.	.7
	.1968	.	.8
		.1994	
.117		-	.9
	.1970	-	
		.1966 23	.10
.2001		.	.11
		.1968 24	.12
	.1976	.	.13
		.1966	
	.1990	-	.14
	-	.	.15
	1994	-	.16
	-	.	.17
	.1997	.	.18
	-	.	
		.1995	
	-	.	
		.1992	
	-	.	

			.1998		.19
				.1987	
			.1994	.	
-				.	.20
.1991			-	.	.21
			.1994	.	.22
				.2003	.23
-	-			.	.24
				.1971	
				.	.24
				.1983	
	:			-	
				.2000	.25
				.	.25
				.1982	.26
	-	-		.	.26
				.1998	.27
				.	.27
.1990				.1992	.28
				.	.29
				.	.29
				.1992	.30
				.	.30
	.1973	33		.	.31
1976-1967				.	.31
			.1986	.	.32
		.1951		.	.32
	.1988			.	.33
.1999				.	.34

.1982	.	.35
.1993	.	.36

1. Arnz, J., Der Begriff der Friedensbedrohung in Satzung und Praxis der Vereinten Nationen, Berlin, 1975.
2. Bailey, S.D., The Procedure of the United Nation Security Council, oxford, calrendon press, 1975.
3. Bedjoui, M, The New World Order and the Security Council: Testing the legality of its acts, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1994.
4. Brownlie,I., The decisions of political organs of the United Nations and the rule of Law, Essays in honour of Wang Tieya, Dordrecht –Boston- London, 1994.
5. Freudenschuss, H., Article 39 of the UN charter revisted: Threats to the Peace and the recent practice of the UN Security Council, zeitschrift fuer oeffentliches Recht und Voellkerrecht, (46), 1993.
6. Gowland – Debbas, v., Security Council enforcement action and issues of state responsibility, International and comparative Law Quarterly, 43, 1994.
7. Higgins, R., The place of International law in the settlement of Disputes by the Security Council, American Journal of International Law, 64, 1970.
8. Kelsen, H., Organization and Procedure of the Security Council of the United Nation, Harvard law Review, Vol. 59, 1946.
- The Law of the United Nations, London, 1951.
9. Lapidoth, R., some Reflections on the Law and Practice Concerning the Imposition of sanctions by the security council, oxford, 1992.
10. Weber, H. Was unterscheidet die Vereinten. Nationen vom Voellkerbund?, Die autorisierte Friedenssicherung im Vergleich, in: Humanitaeres Voellkerrecht, Koeln, 1998.

2003/9/6